

**McClellan AFB Restoration Advisory Board (RAB)**  
**Meeting Transcript**  
**October 21, 1998**

**Members attending:** Randy Adams, DTSC; Paul Brunner, DoD Co-Chair; Del Callaway; Mannard Gaines; Sheila Guerra; Joe Healy, U.S. EPA; Alex MacDonald, RWQCB; Simeon Okoroike; Ken Peachey, Alternate; Linda Piercy; Bill Shepherd; Charles Yarbrough Sr., Community Co-Chair; Imogene Zander

**Members not attending:** Barry Bertrand; Bill Gibson; Jeannie Lewis; Diana Maffei, Rep. Fazio's Office; Tom O'Donnell; Anthony Piercy; Cody Tubbs, Rep. Matsui's Office

**Others attending:** Elaine Anderegg, Alternate DoD Co-Chair; G. Blanth, Neighbors for Better Jobs; Mary Bridgewater, AFBCA; Merianne Briggs, McClellan AFB; John Carroz, McClellan AFB; Kirsten Christopherson, McClellan AFB; Gary Collier, Community Member; J. Fischman; Linda Geissinger, AFBCA; Robert Gonzales, McClellan AFB; Ron Hergenrader, Jacobs; Frank Miller, Community Member; Phil Mook, McClellan AFB; Hollis Mulligan, McClellan AFB; Ralph Munch, McClellan AFB; Ken Smarkel, Jacobs; Rick Solander, McClellan AFB; Peter Strauss, PM Strauss and Assoc.; Jerry Vincent, McClellan AFB; Roxanne Yonn, Radian

TRANSCRIPT:

**Introduction, Welcome and Announcements**

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Welcome everyone to the Restoration Advisory Board Meeting — McClellan Restoration Advisory Board Meeting that is. We're got an agenda here that we are going to be following. So I would like everyone to make sure you sign in for the meeting tonight — all you members of the Restoration Advisory Board.

We have our ground rules. Please state your name for the record, particularly those in the audience. The Restoration Advisory Board Meeting members: I would think that at least during the first part of the meeting, you could state your name so the audience can get to know you and also the people taking the minutes.

1 Okay. Please talk clearly and be polite, if you can. Our breaks shouldn't exceed 10 minutes. We  
2 would encourage you to take no more than three minutes if you're going to comment on any  
3 particular topic. I would like the Restoration Advisory Board members to introduce themselves,  
4 starting over here on this side.

5  
6 Ms. Linda Piercy: Linda Piercy. I'm a community member, a Rio Linda-Elverta Task Force  
7 member, and RAB member.

8  
9 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra, Community Relations chairperson.

10  
11 Ms. Imogene Zander: Imogene Zander, community member, Rio Linda Elverta Task  
12 Force, RAB.

13  
14 Mr. Ken Peachy: Ken Peachy, RAB.

15  
16 Mr. Bill Sheppard: My name is Bill Sheppard, I'm a community member.

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: I'm Paul Brunner, the Military Representative to the RAB and also the co-  
19 chair with Chuck.

20  
21 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: My name is Chuck Yarbrough. I'm the Community Restoration  
22 Advisory Board co-chair.

23  
24 Mr. Joe Healy: I'm Joe Healy from the U.S. EPA Region 9 office in San Francisco.

25  
26 Mr. Mannard Gaines: Mannard Gaines, community member.

1 Mr. Del Callaway: Del Callaway, Reuse and Relative Risk Ranking chair.

2  
3 Mr. Randy Adams: I'm Randy Adams. I'm with the California Department of Toxic Substance  
4 Control.

5  
6 Mr. Alex McDonald: I'm Alex McDonald. I'm with the Regional Water Quality Control  
7 Board.

8  
9 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: All right then. Now we move on to our minutes.

10  
11 Mr. Paul Brunner: Actually, before we get to the minutes, I have one comment. That one  
12 slide. Why don't put that one up? At the last meeting, I made a comment that I would show this  
13 one statement slide, and read it to the folks to kind of set a stage for where the Air Force is  
14 coming from. Let me go ahead and read it for the record since we go to a transcript now. It places  
15 it in the record.

16  
17 The slide says, "McClellan Air Force Base is here tonight, because their past industrial  
18 operations and disposal actions created pollution. We regret and apologize for those actions.  
19 Although no one here in this room tonight is directly responsible for the contamination caused in  
20 the past, we are responsible for fixing it. We know we have a problem and we are doing our best  
21 to solve it. We want your opinions and your advice. That is why we are here."

22  
23 Chuck, as we went through that, your one comment from the last meeting — where I put in there  
24 where we regret and apologize— I did take that in account. I think that is where the Air Force is  
25 at.

26  
27 **Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes**  
28

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, I appreciate that. That's definitely a comment that's well  
2 taken. Thank you very much for that. And now the minutes. We have the June 3<sup>rd</sup> minutes, the  
3 July 15<sup>th</sup> minutes, and now we have the September 2<sup>nd</sup> minutes. I'm going to call on anyone that  
4 has any comments on these minutes, one at a time.

5  
6 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Excuse me, Chuck.

7  
8 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes. Okay.

9  
10 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs. I just wanted to let everyone know, that tonight  
11 we are on tape. That's how we are capturing the minutes. And I'd like to introduce John from  
12 Capital Video Center (inaudible). And if you would please, especially the members of the  
13 audience, state your names (inaudible) and also speak (inaudible). Thank you.

14  
15 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. Chuck I think we are on 3 June.

16  
17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: 3 June. Does anyone have comments about the 3<sup>rd</sup> of June  
18 minutes? Like Sheila, I know that you've been really working hard on that.

19  
20 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. Can you hear me? Can you hear me?

21  
22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, go ahead.

23  
24 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay. I have reviewed all the changes. The June 3<sup>rd</sup>. The July 15<sup>th</sup>. I've  
25 also gone through the current one we are talking about for September 2<sup>nd</sup>. And I approve them.  
26 They're all corrected and they look good to me.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. So would you make a motion that we accept the minutes as  
2 written?

3  
4 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes. I'll make the motion, anyone want to second it?

5  
6 Ms. Imogene Zander: I'll second it.

7  
8 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. All in favor lift your right hand.

9  
10 Mr. Paul Brunner: Oh. We have to go to comment, I think.

11  
12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Comment, oh yes. Discussion I should say. Discussion. Does  
13 anybody here discussion on the minutes?

14  
15 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. I believe some people had some comments on the  
16 verbatim and how the verbatim...

17  
18 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We'll get to that on the end of the last minutes because that covers  
19 the verbatim.

20  
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Oh.

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: Actually, Sheila, my suggestion on that, is . . . Well, on the agenda, we are  
24 talking about just adopting these minutes. We need to discuss that, but maybe...

25  
26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Oh, okay.

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: . . . we should probably do that during the minutes, during your  
2 community relations portion.

3  
4 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay. That's fine.

5  
6 Mr. Paul Brunner: That'll be great. Thank you.

7  
8 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. I've got a motion on the floor now. Any more discussion?  
9 Seeing none? Please raise your right hand to accept the minutes. Okay.

10  
11 Mr. Paul Brunner: For the transcript.

12  
13 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: All those . . .

14  
15 Mr. Paul Brunner: Chuck...

16  
17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Oh, okay. Sorry.

18  
19 Mr. Paul Brunner: Make sure we get the right count. How many are there? We have eight?

20  
21 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Right now, we have eight. Bill Gibson make . . .

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: You say nine, Del?

24  
25 Mr. Del Callaway: Nine, I count nine.

26  
27 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Bill Gibson may be in later.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Were there any opposed?

4  
5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's what we are going to ask now. Any opposed to this? Any  
6 abstain? Better ask that. So now we have just July 15<sup>th</sup>. Excuse me.

7  
8 Mr. Paul Brunner: Sheila, your motion was for all three minutes was it not?

9  
10 Ms Sheila Guerra: Yes.

11  
12 Mr. Paul Brunner: I think we just voted on all three.

13  
14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: (inaudible) Did you move that we accept all three? That was only  
15 supposed to be for June 3.

16  
17 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I thought it was for all three because that's what was on the agenda.

18  
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Did you specifically say all three, because my...

20  
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes. I did.

22  
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's too bad. I didn't want the first one accepted. I was working  
24 on the one.

25  
26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sorry.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, let's discuss it then. I don't believe that September 2<sup>nd</sup>  
2 minutes ...

3  
4 Mr. Paul Brunner: I think on September 2<sup>nd</sup> we will discuss September 2<sup>nd</sup>. What we just  
5 talked about, we would do that during Sheila's time.

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I realize that but it wasn't supposed to be approved because I  
8 wasn't going to let it be approved. I thought we were working on one set of minutes. I introduced  
9 it as June's minutes.

10  
11 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay, Sheila Guerra speaking. The minutes are verbatim There's really not  
12 anything to change as far as wording goes.

13  
14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I think there is.

15  
16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I mean except for the hums and ho's and...

17  
18 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, that should be removed.

19  
20 Ms. Sheila Guerra: . . . the muddle and what not.

21  
22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: (inaudible)

23  
24 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, I know. Who did the transcribing?

25  
26 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I don't know.



1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Did you actually move...

3 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I read through them and...

5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Did you move that we accept all three minutes because my  
6 introduction was June 3<sup>rd</sup> minutes?

8 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I thought we were talking about all three.

10 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: But did you say what you wanted?

12 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, I did.

14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Oh, okay. I didn't hear.

16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: So do you want me to...

18 Mr. Del Callaway: Can't.

20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I guess not. We can't, if you really said that. We are stuck.

22 Ms Sheila Guerra: Can I back out of the motion?

24 Ms. Linda Piercy: Excuse me, Linda Piercy here. If you didn't understand and you thought  
25 you were voting for something else, why couldn't we scratch that and do it over? Apparently he  
26 didn't understand what was going on. So we were thinking that everyone understood.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I was going for June 3<sup>rd</sup>. I didn't hear her say all three. I messed up  
2 with my listening part.

3  
4 Mr. Paul Brunner: Chuck, may I make the motion that we open up the discussion on the 2  
5 September meeting again, and have the discussion? Is there a second?

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I'll second it.

8  
9 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, then we'll go to discussion.

10  
11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Anybody have a discussion on this? Okay, all those in favor raise  
12 your right hand.

13  
14 Ms. Imogene Zander: I don't know what we are doing.

15  
16 Mr. Paul Brunner: I made a motion that we discuss the second of September one. So now we  
17 are in discussion of that motion.

18  
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Discussion of the motion.

20  
21 Mr. Paul Brunner: So we now are voting to see if we accept that motion to discuss the 2<sup>nd</sup>  
22 September meeting minutes. We did put a close to the 2<sup>nd</sup> September one, and if we are to  
23 conduct . . .

24  
25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: We have already approved the September 2<sup>nd</sup> minutes.

26  
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We'll just go on.

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: We will talk about the verbatim when we get to that on the agenda.

3 Unknown Male: . . . (inaudible) discussion again there's nothing wrong with that.

5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: There's nothing wrong, okay. What if I make a motion that we  
6 unapproved September 2<sup>nd</sup> minutes?

8 Mr. Del Callaway: Del Callaway here. Why don't we stick to the agenda and move on. If we  
9 have any time left, then we'll come back and discuss the September 2<sup>nd</sup>.

11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. I'll go with that. Let's not even fool with the second of  
12 September minutes. I had a job to do this last time. One was to write a letter to Ben Norman, the  
13 other one was to write a letter to Mr. Brad Gacke. I didn't get any response from Brad.

15 Now you know Ben Norman's gone until he applies another application with us. And Brad  
16 Gacke didn't respond. So I move that Brad Gacke, right at this time anyhow, that he be removed  
17 from the RAB as a member upon receipt again of another application if he so desires. Do I have a  
18 second?

20 Mr. Paul Brunner: Is there a second?

22 Ms Imogene Zander: I second it.

24 Mr. Paul Brunner: Then we go to discussion.

26 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Discussion. Anybody want to discuss Brad and why we are just  
27 taking him off the board? Doesn't mean he can't reapply again. He just isn't attending any  
28

1 meetings.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Do we know when the last time Brad was here?

4  
5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: A long time ago. I don't know the exact date of the minutes of the  
6 meeting. But I've been trying to get him here for quite some time now. It's been way past three  
7 meetings. Okay, then all in favor lift your right hand please.

8  
9 Mr. Paul Brunner: Nine was the vote; nine for.

10  
11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: All those that are against do the same. All those that abstain.

12  
13 I want to bring up another subject, to hear what your comments are along this line. I did talk to  
14 Simeon, somebody pronounce his last name for me. Okoroike. I believe his last name is  
15 pronounced and . . .

16  
17 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I think that's pretty close.

18  
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: . . . and he said he was going to be here tonight but he isn't. And  
20 he's missed a whole lot of meetings. So I would like to know what is your desire. Should we  
21 leave him, should I get ahold of him the same way as I did Brad Gacke and say if he doesn't  
22 make the next Restoration Advisory Board, that we are going to have to vote to take him off and  
23 send him a formal letter to that? Or do you want to carry him for some more time?

24  
25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. Have you got ahold of him since?

26  
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes. I talked to him. He said he was going to be at this meeting.

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I see. I think we ought to send him a warning letter.

2  
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I think we ought to send him a letter saying that if he isn't here  
4 next time, we are going to remove him just like we did Brad Gacke. These people have an  
5 understanding in my letters that they can reapply with an application saying that they will attend  
6 the meetings. They can always apply. We are not barring them. Anytime they want to come back  
7 with an application and tell us that they will attend, and give us a promise that they're not going  
8 to be like they are now, then we can reaccept them. So I'm not barring them by any means. I'm  
9 just telling them, "You ought to be here".

10  
11 Mr. Paul Brunner: Chuck, when you talk to Simeon, does he give a reason why he doesn't  
12 come?

13  
14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: He did at first. He said he was going to school and all these other  
15 things, which I understand. I got things in my life, too. So I don't know. Our quorums are based  
16 on people here. I think it's important that people be here. I've given them all sorts of warnings,  
17 you know. Bill Sheppard did respond. He's here tonight. Thank you very much, Bill. Appreciate  
18 that. So you're making a motion that we send him out a warning letter, is that what you're doing?

19  
20 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes.

21  
22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, can somebody second that?

23  
24 Ms. Linda Piercy: I second it.

25  
26 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, then discussion on this. Seeing none, then will everyone  
27 respond by that are in favor of this by raising your right hand?

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

2  
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, thanks.

4  
5 Mr. Paul Brunner: What was the count?

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Did you get the count?

8  
9 Mr. Del Callaway: I've got 10.

10  
11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Thank you. And those that are opposed, please do the same. All  
12 those that are abstaining do the same. Seeing none, we are going to move on then.

13  
14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We have the Charter revisions. I want to hand those out now. I  
15 would appreciate it if someone, oh, you're going to help me? Very good.

16  
17 Now I would like to discuss this. From the last time you saw the changes, the only thing that is  
18 new is the paragraph up above on top. We didn't change the Charter. We went back exactly to  
19 how the Charter is worded here. The only thing we inserted was "Community". You can see it  
20 highlighted there so it pops out at you. It's the only word we added to the existing Charter as  
21 written in that paragraph. That's the only thing that we reverted back to the existing Charter right  
22 there. And you can turn to page 2 paragraph 6 and you can see the exact wording as it's written  
23 now.

24  
25 Now the other slight change from the last time we gave you this sheet, was down on page 3,  
26 down on the last paragraph here. What we left in from the old Charter was this statement. It says,  
27 "Community RAB members may choose to vote on advice given to Air Force and agencies on  
28

1 cleanup matters.” So from the last time, that is the only thing we added to that one statement  
2 there, and that was in the old Charter as written.

3  
4 So everything is the same since the last meetings. I would move that we accept the Charter  
5 changes from the Adhoc Committee as proposed. This does not require a second, because it came  
6 from our Committee. Therefore, I would move that we accept it and encourage each community  
7 RAB member here to accept it. Now we are going to move into discussion. Is there any  
8 discussion?

9  
10 Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes, I have some discussion. The discussion that we had on the Charter  
11 the last time, the one area that we talked about last time that I had about the DoD representative  
12 voting. Is this the same as worded last time that we had Chuck? This the first time I’ve seen it  
13 tonight, as to where we are with the changes?

14  
15 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That is the same change the third to the last paragraph down. The  
16 only thing we changed in there was, “Community RAB members may choose to vote on advice  
17 given to the Air Force and agencies on cleanup matters.” The rest of it says just like it did last  
18 time.

19  
20 Mr. Paul Brunner: Oh, okay. Then from my input into that as I said last time during the  
21 discussion, I would ask you all not to accept that change. I believe that the DoD RAB should be  
22 allowed to vote on administrative issues that we have. I agree as the Charter reads today, that the  
23 DoD rep should not vote on advice that we have, that is being provided by the RAB. But I do  
24 believe that I should be allowed to vote as the RAB co-chair on general business activities, like  
25 we just did on membership and those types of activities.

26  
27 The Air Force does expend a lot of resources in regards to the RAB, support the functions, and,  
28

1 since we are expending those resources, I think I should have some type of vote into that process  
2 and participate as part of the team. I do feel as though I'm part of your team, as to where we are  
3 here. So I would ask you not to support that change or modify that statement.

4  
5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I would ask you on the other hand to support that change. Because  
6 of the fact that we are representing the community. We don't want the Air Force up here raising  
7 its hand, or actually Environmental Management of the Air Force, with us like we are, tied onto  
8 them, and people in the community out there think that we are. I know I've heard comments from  
9 people that when we are setting up here, we become another arm of the Air Force. And I'm not  
10 an arm of the Air Force up here. I'm up here representing community Robla area. And I was  
11 appointed a long time ago to represent the people in the community.

12  
13 If this was a cleanup committee, where we were actually going to perform cleanup of some sort,  
14 like we were going to clean up a pit over here or we are going to clean up the groundwater, we  
15 are going to do something — this was going to be it. We are going to actually make a decision to  
16 do that. I would 100 percent favor Environmental Management on this. But since it's our advice,  
17 the community's advice, to the Air Force, everything affects that advice. Our Charter, our  
18 Bylaws, our RAB Rules of Order, and everything else that we do here is going to affect the  
19 advice we give.

20  
21 And we are not only going to affect this community; we are going to affect communities all  
22 across America. Because they're going to ask the Environmental Management Section here,  
23 whether or not they vote on their RAB. And if other RABs might be stuck because we voted for  
24 this motion to accept this as written, we would be voting against other communities, if we didn't  
25 support the changes, including this one change.

26  
27 And I think it's very important to know that Environmental Management civil engineers in the  
28



1 past has not supported the community on the cleanup committees before. They voted against  
2 community people being on here in the first place. They didn't want us on here. Then they called  
3 us observers, told us to shut up and sit down. General Hammond told me to do that one day when  
4 I just got up to ask a question.

5  
6 The other thing is when it came time to open the meetings, open to the public, the cleanup  
7 committee (at that time called Installation Restoration Task Force). Unfortunately McClellan  
8 voted against opening the meetings to the public, like we have tonight here with the public here.  
9 So consequently, you know other RABs are stuck in that groove today. They cannot attend a lot  
10 of committee meetings that we can go to. So I think it's very important here to understand we are  
11 backing our communities. We are community people. We should be voting for our folks. Now  
12 I'd like to hear any other discussion from you folks.

13  
14 Mr. Paul Brunner: Imogene.

15  
16 Ms. Imogene Zander: Imogene Zander. If it was going to be just Air Force that was doing  
17 it, why have a RAB at all? And I said that before.

18  
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay.

20  
21 Ms. Imogene Zander: Nobody listens.

22  
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, now we are listening. Okay. So it's been moved. Anybody  
24 else?

25  
26 Mr. Paul Brunner: I have some other discussion point on it. The case in point on the record is  
27 we go to the transcript and the incidents that Chuck was referencing to where the Air Force was  
28

1 in the early '80s or mid '80s. And I for the record think things have changed substantially since  
2 that time as we formed the RAB and where we are on it. So, as a point of clarification, as we go  
3 through discussion, Chuck, as we actually have no vote, do we have the right membership here to  
4 vote?

5  
6 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

7  
8 Mr. Paul Brunner: On it?

9  
10 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We do.

11  
12 Mr. Paul Brunner: With looking at the Charter, it talks about that we must have all the RAB  
13 members — two-thirds of all RAB members.

14  
15 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We have them. I counted them. That's why I went on.

16  
17 Ms. Imogene Zander: There's two-thirds.

18  
19 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, just in . . .

20  
21 Ms. Imogene Zander: Two-thirds, figure it out for yourself.

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: All the various RAB members that we have, as it speaks to the Charter, it  
24 speaks to it where it says, "This Charter may be amended by a vote of two-thirds of all RAB  
25 members."

26  
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, we have the number of two-thirds.

1 Ms. Imogene Zander: We have that many.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: But do we have all RAB members here to vote?

4  
5 Ms. Imogene Zander: We don't have all RAB members, but we need two-thirds.

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We do have.

8  
9 Mr. Paul Brunner: And here it also says alternates may not vote for primaries, or may vote for  
10 primaries but alternates are not to vote.

11  
12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Where does it say that?

13  
14 Mr. Paul Brunner: Right here: "Alternates may vote for primary members"

15  
16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. I believe...

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: So alternates will not be voting on this issue.

19  
20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: You're crazy. This is what I mean folks.

21  
22 Mr. Paul Brunner: No, Chuck, if we have a primary here and an alternate here, why should an  
23 alternate to a primary be allowed to vote?

24  
25 Mr. Del Callaway: No, the alternate is sitting in for a primary that is not here.

26  
27 Mr. Paul Brunner: Oh, okay. If that's true, Del, I didn't realize that. I stand corrected.

1 Mr. Del Callaway: He's sitting in for Tony.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

4  
5 Mr. Del Callaway: Yes.

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The other answer that he gave here is true and it's not true, okay. I  
8 want to tell you that when they told us over in what they call their plating shop over here — they  
9 just recently had a fire in the plating shop. And when that happened they said it was non-toxic  
10 smoke. They put it in the *Bee*; they put it in the *Spacemaker*. I just had a chemical engineer that  
11 works in Environmental Management tell me the other day that was quite hazardous smoke. I  
12 knew it was hazardous, every employee on base knows it is hazardous. And there's other things  
13 that have already cropped up that are exactly true. So consequently, I'm afraid to say that it is  
14 true in a way what he's saying, but in some ways they still need to improve. The thing is we are  
15 still going to not only affect ourselves here, but we'll affect other people in other communities.  
16 And I would encourage everyone here and I think everybody is going to vote in favor of these  
17 changes and I appreciate it. Thank you.

18  
19 And if somebody else doesn't have any discussion on this. Yes, did anybody else? Okay.

20  
21 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Excuse me, Chuck.

22  
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

24  
25 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Bill Sheppard, community member. Just so we can get down to some  
26 numbers, I'm curious how many people would you be excluding in this change?

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Only the people you mean—?

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Me.

4  
5 Mr. Bill Sheppard: I mean numbers of people 1,2,3,4, how many votes are we talking about?

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Oh, you mean in good standing?

8  
9 Mr. Bill Sheppard: No. It says here you want to exclude Air Force personnel hired to represent  
10 the government.

11  
12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, that's . . .

13  
14 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Congressional aides, health agency representatives, they will not  
15 participate...

16  
17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, let me put . . .

18  
19 Mr. Bill Sheppard: . . . in any votes. So how many people are we talking about?

20  
21 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well it's like this. The people that we are excluding, all but the Air  
22 Force, have already said to me in a letter form, or have told me verbally that they're not  
23 interested in voting.

24  
25 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Some of these people are already not voting, is that correct?

26  
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, they're already not voting. Every one of them is not voting  
28

1 except the Air Force.

2  
3 Mr. Bill Sheppard: But they could vote if they want to.

4  
5 Mr. Del Callaway: If someone replaced them later on down the road, like Adams and replace  
6 Malinowski. See, he might not know that, this gentleman wasn't voting. Then he'd come in and  
7 vote. So that's what Chuck's saying.

8  
9 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well there.

10  
11 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Well, I'm just trying to understand the numbers.

12  
13 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Their management has already told them not to, so we only have  
14 one individual here. And that's from Environmental Management. Everyone else has said they're  
15 not voting either verbally or in writing.

16  
17 Mr. Bill Sheppard: So in practical terms, we are talking about the loss of one vote.

18  
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Right.

20  
21 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Would you agree with that, Paul?

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: That's true. I'll agree with that.

24  
25 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, without any further ado, the discussion has ended and all  
26 those in favor please raise your right hand. Okay we got nine in favor.

1 Ms. Imogene Zander: Two.

2  
3 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Roxanne Younn. Let's make sure we get this vote correctly. I'd like to also  
4 ask for the record . . .

5  
6 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I don't want us to take all night.

7  
8 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: . . . that Bill Gibson voted in the last vote, but in the previous votes he had  
9 not arrived, so if the numbers on the transcript change that's the reason.

10  
11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Please raise your hands one last time.

12  
13 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: So let's just count — raise high. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 are in favor.

14  
15 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: All those that are opposed to this please raise your right hand.

16  
17 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: One opposed.

18  
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: All those that are abstaining please raise your right hand.

20  
21 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Zero abstained.

22  
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, the motion passes, thank you. Well, the Charter has been  
24 approved. The changes to the Charter, excuse me.

25  
26 Mr. Paul Brunner: Then we move to the next topic? Okay.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Oh, by the way, there was one other change that I forgot to  
2 mention. Del, do you want to bring up the change, that change with the Bylaws, that something  
3 may have been omitted from the Bylaws?

4  
5 Mr. Del Callaway: No.

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: You don't want to do that at this time?

8  
9 Mr. Del Callaway: No. No.

10  
11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, you sure now?

12  
13 Mr. Del Callaway: Yes.

14  
15 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I'm giving you the opportunity.

16  
17 Mr. Del Callaway: I know.

18  
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay.

20  
21 **Review of September 2 Action Items**

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. We go to the next topic on the agenda, which is the action items.  
24 And Merianne if you could hand out that handout. They have it now? They're out? Okay. It's the  
25 RAB public meeting action items. The first one that got through that we have it's labeled as  
26 being closed. It's, "Distribute the revised RAB Rules of Order and Bylaws," and we did mail  
27 those out and you did get those. So I think that is closed.



1 The second item is, "Read the RAB Rules of Order before the next meeting." That was an  
2 encouragement that we had, so hopefully we did that. For the sake of the group do we want to  
3 close that action item? That was an encouragement last time that we went through the order in  
4 the procession that we have. Any comment on that to leave it open? I recommend that we close it  
5 for the record. Seeing no objection to that, it's closed.

6  
7 "Explain to the RAB the process of the Public Affairs Office working with EM to notify the  
8 RAB of vital information." Merianne, you have a handout and you were going to do that for us,  
9 so if you'd do that.

10  
11 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs. The procedure is that when there is a news  
12 release for an environmental event, the McClellan Air Force Base Public Affairs Office will  
13 notify me, the the community coordinator, by telephone and then follow that up with an e-mail of  
14 the news release.

15  
16 I will immediately e-mail or fax the release to the RAB community co-chair and also e-mail or  
17 fax it to the community committee chairs and the regulatory agencies. And if there are any  
18 chairpersons that do not have e-mail or fax accessibility, I will go ahead and give them a phone  
19 call. And after that I will go ahead and inform EM management of the release and also of the  
20 status of the contact of the community members and the agencies. And at that time the Public  
21 Affairs Office will issue the news release to the media.

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, any comments on that particular action item? Does that satisfy the  
24 members on that item?

25  
26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes.

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: I see a lot of heads shaking “yes”, at least some.

2  
3 Ms Sheila Guerra: Yes.

4  
5 Mr. Paul Brunner: I’ll take that. Okay, then we can close that item then. The next action item  
6 was, “Update the items on the Web page.” Merianne, I think you had that one also.

7  
8 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Okay, Merianne Briggs. We did go ahead and do the initial update  
9 on the Web site that resulted from September 2<sup>nd</sup> from that RAB meeting — those suggestions  
10 — those were updated.

11  
12 In going back and looking at it again afterwards, I found a few more errors, especially in the  
13 member roster list as far as alternates go and there were a few phone number changes also. So  
14 that is in the process of being corrected right now. It’s over at Public Affairs for their approval.  
15 And then from there it goes over to our Web people on base and with their approval, they’ll send  
16 back their okay to us at EM and then we’ll go ahead and stick it on the external Web site.

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, so on this particular one, it should remain open, and we’ll get a  
19 report at the next RAB meeting.

20  
21 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Right.

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: But in the mean time we’ll make the changes.

24  
25 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Right.

26  
27 Mr. Paul Brunner: Any comments from RAB members on that?

1 Mr. Del Callaway: Yes, you say the changes have already been made?

2  
3 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Yes, there was the initial group of changes that were made.

4  
5 Mr. Del Callaway: I just pulled off Restoration Advisory Board Application this morning and  
6 it still has the same information as before. So is that one of the items that hasn't been updated  
7 yet?

8  
9 Ms. Merianne Briggs: That one—is has to be—I have to get that reformatted. It's a  
10 scanned in document. So that one has to be reformatted and then name change done on that.

11  
12 Mr. Paul Brunner: So Del, yes, that is one that is not done yet.

13  
14 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Yes, it's not done.

15  
16 Mr. Del Callaway: Okay, your Environmental Management Web page had 1,000,246 hits this  
17 morning. When I looked at it zeroed out. Evidently at some time and it now has 485. So your  
18 work that you're doing on there, would that cause your hits on your page to zero out?

19  
20 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Not as far as I know. I did check it yesterday and it was up in the  
21 millions.

22  
23 Mr. Del Callaway: Yes, well here's one . . .

24  
25 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Okay we'll . . .

26  
27 Mr. Del Callaway: . . . says 485 on it.

1 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Okay, I'll check on that also.

2  
3 Mr. Del Callaway: And I have one last question on the repository. Nevermind, I'll come down  
4 and see you.

5  
6 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Okay.

7  
8 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, the next action item is, "Discuss need for an alternate RAB  
9 membership application as mentioned in the Bylaws." And that was a Community Relations  
10 action item and I think that was one that was not — Merianne was telling me, Sheila, that you  
11 guys had talked that and that was not ready to close out or discuss at this meeting. Is that true or  
12 is there something we can discuss?

13  
14 Ms Sheila Guerra: We didn't discuss this at the last meeting.

15  
16 Ms. Merianne Briggs: At that Community Relations meeting, I had an outdated  
17 application and I need to get with you. You made a comment that you had an updated one. So I'll  
18 get with you.

19  
20 Ms Sheila Guerra: Leave that one open.

21  
22 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. The next one was, "Send a letter to Brad Gacke to see if he is still  
23 interested in participating in the RAB." I think we took a vote tonight. Close that issue. That was  
24 done.

25  
26 The next one is, "Investigate the status of the local libraries to see if they will be available to use  
27 as the administrative record." And Merianne, you were going to speak to that one.

1 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs. I went ahead and spoke with North Highlands  
2 Library and they will be opened. They're actually building a new library which will be done in  
3 two years. They'll be at their current site for two years. I'm sorry, did I say Rio Linda? I meant  
4 North Highlands. On Rio Linda Library, they have had some fundraisers in the past and the  
5 reason for that, I was told, is that they are currently leasing the building that they are in. The  
6 purpose for the fundraisers was to get enough money for them to purchase their own building.  
7 But they have no plans to close. So that would also be available.

8  
9 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, any other discussion on that action item?

10  
11 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. I believe that is on my report.

12  
13 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

14  
15 Ms. Sheila Guerra: We are going to take a vote on that.

16  
17 Mr. Paul Brunner: Sheila, is it all right to . . .

18  
19 Ms Sheila Guerra: Close it yes.

20  
21 Mr. Paul Brunner . . . to close this one on here? Okay.

22  
23 The next action item that we have is, "Meet to discuss the mailing list issue." And this is where  
24 the last time I talked about myself getting with you, Del, and Joe. What did take place during that  
25 time, was I went back and I read through various correspondence about the mailing list that had  
26 come. I know EPA had provided a Supreme Court ruling and different issues on that.

1 What has transpired since the last meeting, and I spoke to this at the last Community Relations  
2 Meeting, when we went through it at least meeting with Sheila and that. What the Air Force will  
3 do with the mailing list, is that we will go ahead and allow the RAB members to see the mailing  
4 list and use it as we were doing before. And I base that upon the following finding. It was five  
5 that I looked at. The current mailing list has been provided by McClellan to the RAB for their use  
6 in the past, which was discussed here during the RAB extensively at times. The current mailing  
7 list was distributed to the general public in the McClellan closure EIS/EIR document and I think  
8 Del you'd mentioned that during those discussions. I found that to be accurate. The third point  
9 was the DoD EPA RAB guidance recommends the RAB get involved in the mailing to make sure  
10 it is representative of the area. The fourth one dealing with the Supreme Court case cited by EPA,  
11 when I went back to read through that case that we had talked about, I concluded it applied to this  
12 situation if the intended use of the mailing is for private purposes. Was the major issue, which I  
13 don't think is the intent of where we are. And then the fourth one or the fifth one, dealt with our  
14 finding from our own legal staff that the RAB is this quasi arm of the government. I know that  
15 may not be an issue with some, but it is somewhat of a government agency.

16  
17 Now with this, as we use the mailing list, I have to let you all know that as we let RAB members  
18 see this mailing list, there are three things that you need to do. And they're not onerous, but there  
19 are three things. The mailing list is not to be used for any private purposes. I don't think that's  
20 the intent, but you need to be aware of that. If you have the mailing list you don't do that. The  
21 second one is the mailing list be used only for RAB purposes. And the third one is the mailing  
22 list not be distributed outside the RAB. So if we work within those three boundaries, then we'll  
23 let you work with the mailing list. Any comments on that?

24  
25 Ms. Imogene Zander: We probably have more names than you do, and addresses.

26  
27 Mr. Paul Brunner: Any other comments or discussion?  
28

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. When is this going to be available to the RAB, the  
2 list?

3  
4 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, I think the list would be available now if you wanted to work with  
5 our folks or look at the list, we could do that Sheila.

6  
7 Ms. Sheila Guerra: And how many people are on that list. Do you know, off hand?

8  
9 Mr. Paul Brunner: I don't. Merianne, do you know how many are on that list?

10  
11 Ms. Merianne Briggs: There are 2,100 people on the list. And I do have some changes  
12 that came in that resulted from the last mailing of the newsletter. So those have not yet been  
13 submitted for change on the mailing list.

14  
15 Mr. Paul Brunner: The mailing list potentially will change dramatically. I think Sheila will  
16 address that during her time, too.

17  
18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's correct.

19  
20 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, no other comments on that, we will close that.

21  
22 Ms. Linda Piercy: Linda Piercy here.

23  
24 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

25  
26 Ms. Linda Piercy: I was wondering, why did it take so long to have the mailing list available,  
27 out of curiosity? I can remember, I was talking about the mailing list in the winter. I was just  
28

1 curious.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, I think the mailing list, the issue when we went back and forth with  
4 the RAB, was the intended use, and then we had the Supreme Court ruling that came up when  
5 EPA introduced that. We did get copies of the Supreme Court ruling and then went back and read  
6 through it. I personally then concluded after reading through the various literature that we would  
7 do what we are doing. So I essentially, Linda, I read through it and put an end to the discussion  
8 and said we would press on it. Why it took so long, we didn't have the ruling. EPA had  
9 introduced it. We got it, we reviewed it, and hopefully it's behind us. Okay. Anymore  
10 discussion?

11  
12 Mr. Del Callaway: Yes. The reason we were delayed on that, was because EPA interfered in  
13 our efforts to ascertain the mailing list correctness. There was a misunderstanding on EPA's part  
14 and on the intended use for it. Then the court ruling that was cited was way out in left field or out  
15 in the grass, as people like to say, didn't pertain to what our use was. And if you provide a list,  
16 should go to the co-chair and then the co-chair will use it or disseminate it as he sees fit with the  
17 other chairs. The regular RAB members probably would not have a use for it other than within a  
18 meeting itself to check some of their acquaintances' addresses, or if they were getting mail, or if  
19 they were not getting mail, and to make sure they had a correct address in there.

20  
21 Mr. Paul Brunner: Del, I understand your perspective on that. For the record, the decision to  
22 use the mailing list to make it available is an Air Force decision. When we came to the  
23 conclusion reading through the various information and what had been presented at the RAB  
24 reading Supreme Court, I produce my internal e-mail correspondence back and forth to the  
25 various agencies, ask for their opinions, I'm not sure the agencies themselves would agree with  
26 the Air Force position, because of the Supreme Court ruling, but I concluded that it was the right  
27 way to go and the right thing to do and put an end to it and move forward.



1 Mr. Del Callaway: That's good if you feel that way. But right here I have 10 e-mails that I  
2 sent back and forth with Mr. Joe Healy and the EPA and other people in regards to that matter. I  
3 don't find any problem with you taking credit for ending it. I think it was commendable that you  
4 did do that, but the document speaks for itself it.

5  
6 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

7  
8 Mr. Del Callaway: It has no value to it at all as far as what the intent was for the usage of it.  
9 And it states in here the judge went as far as to state that, "You are entitled to have it." We are  
10 entitled to have it regardless what the ruling was on this. So that's okay. We accept it. And with  
11 my comments on how to distribute it, I would think that would be the route to travel.

12  
13 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. Any other discussion on that point? Okay, we go to the next action  
14 item, "Report back to the Relative Risk Ranking Committee the names of the bidders for the  
15 TAPP" and that was Jeannie's action item and she's not here. Del, did you have something on  
16 that or?

17  
18 Mr. Del Callaway: I have a gentleman in the audience tonight that would like to have a few  
19 minutes to make some comments on the TAPP. I tried to get ahold of Jeannie and I was  
20 unsuccessful doing so. I noticed that at the last Relative Risk Ranking Committee Meeting she  
21 was present but she got up and walked out for some reason and didn't indicate her reason for  
22 leaving. She just left. So with the board's permission, I'll introduce Mr. Straus.

23  
24 Mr. Paul Brunner: Del, do we want to do that now or should we do it during the time on the  
25 agenda and move forward with the other action items and...?

26  
27 Mr. Del Callaway: Well, I thought you brought up TAPP, so you want me to do it under my  
28

1 chair?

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: I think that will work best.

4  
5 Mr. Del Callaway: Mr. Straus it will be a few more minutes.

6  
7 Mr. Paul Brunner: Sorry about that. So that remains open on that item. The next one is,  
8 “Assist Imogene Zander and the Piercys to obtain base passes,” and Merianne, if you’d address  
9 that.

10  
11 Ms. Merianne Briggs: We have a new procedure where the RAB members have to come  
12 in on base and go to the Pass and ID building. I’ll go with them and if I’m not available I do have  
13 Terry Glasby who will accompany the members to the Pass and ID. It’s the same procedure that  
14 is given to McClellan employees, but you would be getting instead of an employee badge a  
15 distinguished visitors badge that is good for one year. So I’m in the process now of getting some  
16 appointment times together to escort Imogene Zander over and also Linda and Anthony Piercy.

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, any comments on that?

19  
20 Mr. Bill Gibson: I have a question.

21  
22 Mr. Paul Brunner: Bill.

23  
24 Mr. Bill Gibson: My current pass expires in February. What’s the lead-time?

25  
26 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Well —it would be up to whatever your schedule is like. I will  
27 make that a priority to get you people in for your passes. I would suggest that it would be at least  
28

1 a month ahead of time to have that renewed. If at any time your pass is no longer valid, just give  
2 me a call and I'll be able to get you in through the front gate.

3  
4 Mr. Paul Brunner: Bill, I think a major key there is your availability to come and then we can  
5 make the alignment with the folks.

6  
7 Mr. Bill Gibson: Well, I'm on base at least once a month.

8  
9 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Oh.

10  
11 Mr. Bill Gibson: Like tomorrow, should I see you tomorrow to start the process?

12  
13 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Sure, we can do that.

14  
15 Mr. Bill Gibson: Okay. Thank you.

16  
17 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. Any other comments on that action item? The last action item is,  
18 "Set up a meeting with Rebecca Garrison on the Ride Share Program in the near future" and  
19 Sheila, that was your particular action item.

20  
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'm not ready to meet with her at this time.

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: So that item remains open. That's all the action items.

24  
25 **Community Relations Committee Report**

26  
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, it's time for our committee reports. So we'll start with the  
28

1 Community Relations Committee Report please.

2  
3 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. Our last meeting was September 16<sup>th</sup> and I'm not  
4 going to cover every little thing that we went over at that meeting because we have so many  
5 things on the agenda for tonight. So I'll just start out with the RAB status and quasi federal  
6 group. To bring you up to speed on what that means, when I started to investigate the Ride Share  
7 issue, I had to go to the FOIA office to ask for the information. I ran into some problems with  
8 questions about what I was going to do with the information after I got it. I asked Linda Hall to  
9 send me in writing what she was actually asking me to send her. So, in the mean time, from our  
10 conversation on July 13<sup>th</sup> I sent her a memo to our conversation and as an addendum to my FOIA  
11 on July 8<sup>th</sup> "this request is for government official purposes of the Restoration Advisory Board,  
12 the RAB was chartered and approved by the US Congress." After that, I received a letter from  
13 Colonel Gibson. In his letter he stated to me why he couldn't provide me with the information.  
14 There were several reasons, but right now I'm only going to go into the part of his answers on the  
15 quasi government where it brings the RAB. Am I on? Can you hear me? When it brings us into a  
16 quasi government issue, in other words, when I make a statement or certain statements that RAB  
17 members use when they go to request certain kind of information, could put them in that  
18 situation. In other words, we could be an arm of the Air Force according to the wording. So it  
19 leaves us a little bit confused at that point. If we are an arm of the Air Force we should be able to  
20 ask for practically any kind of information without going through a lot of red tape. That's what  
21 I've been through for the last six months. A lot of red tape. Every time I turn around I'm asking  
22 questions and never getting any answers unless I write a letter, spend days on the phone trying to  
23 get information. So, to Colonel Gibson's letter I received a letter. I did talk to Todd Norton about  
24 the issue. I responded with a letter to him and he responded back with a letter. You should all  
25 have a copy of this letter. Do you all have this copy?

26  
27 Unknown Male: I have a copy.

1 Ms Sheila Guerra: Does anyone have any questions about it? No questions Chuck?

3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I don't have any at this time, no.

5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Does everyone understand the letter?

7 Mr. Paul Brunner: Sheila, I need about a minute more to finish reading it.

9 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Oh, okay.

11 Ms. Linda Piercy: (inaudible)

13 Ms. Sheila Guerra: It's really important that we all understand this. Is there an attorney in here  
14 with EM? How about Major Gonzalez?

16 Major Gonzalez: I'm not a lawyer.

18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay, well, you kind of have to read between the gray lines on this letter. I  
19 really can't tell you what it actually says. I didn't write the letter. You just have to figure it out  
20 for yourself.

22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We definitely can wait for an answer from Environmental  
23 Management if they want to answer at a later time.

25 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, what's the question? Do we have a question or do (inaudible).

27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Do you want to restate you question there? Sheila.

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I want to know if you all understand this letter. If you don't understand  
2 this letter, and I have sent my letter off to, I cant think of his name, Chuck, McCall?

3  
4 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Tadd McCall.

5  
6 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Tadd McCall. I haven't received a reply from him yet. I thought that  
7 maybe he would step in and he would have some input on this because it not only affects this  
8 RAB at McClellan, it affects every single RAB in the United States of America. So when we say  
9 that we are an arm of the Air Force, I want to be sure and I want it clear that yes, we are or, we  
10 are not ,an arm of the Air Force. That's my question.

11  
12 Mr. Del Callaway: Sheila, I think that the use of official business through them. Because  
13 when the Air Force uses that term, they don't use it in the same text that you do. You mean one  
14 thing by it. They mean something else. I had a plaque card. I spoke to Merianne about it earlier. It  
15 referred to secure the building. I couldn't find it this afternoon when I was looking for it. It had  
16 the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force and Marine Corps. When you tell them to secure the  
17 building, what does that mean to them? I think Paul has seen it also. I gave him a copy of it a  
18 while back. All of them did something different with that term. So what on this first page here is  
19 Air Force doubletalk doesn't mean a thing except to them. If you can't baffle them with  
20 brilliance, you dazzle them with bullshit. That's exactly what this is. It doesn't answer your  
21 question. It doesn't give you the information that you need and that you want. It's just a way for  
22 them to wiggle a little bit more to get out of it.

23  
24 Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's kind of how I felt about it, too. But at this point, I feel that I don't  
25 want to just forget about it. I would like to get something in writing. I can go back to Mr. Norton  
26 and say, "The RAB would you be more specific."

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: Sheila, the last time, what I heard from staff, I have not personally  
2 talked to you about the letter, that you were not satisfied with the letter. You were not actually  
3 asking for a response from my area.

4  
5 Ms. Imogene Zander: (inaudible)  
6

7 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well I'm just stating my facts, Imogene, as to what I heard from my folks,  
8 as to where we were in the interactions. If you'd like for me to try clarify what it is, Chuck, in  
9 your comment, I can try to do that and come back. And I know that in another legal letter that  
10 came out from our staff on another issue, Sheila, I did respond. I tried to put it in layman's terms  
11 as to what it is. I can take that as an action item to do that and try to put it in a more of  
12 (inaudible)  
13

14 Ms. Sheila Guerra: These are some of the questions, which were pretty simple. Who gives the  
15 authority to make such a statement about the RAB? We are not an arm of the Air Force, of  
16 McClellan Air Force Base. Please explain where you get your information. Because its not in the  
17 Air Force Instruction 37-131 Paragraph 4 attachment. If the RAB was an arm of any United  
18 States Air Force base, there would be no need to request FOIA or red tape procedures.  
19

20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, can we go on?  
21

22 Mr. Paul Brunner: As we go through here, I know that the allegation of the connection there  
23 is not being taking positive. But where this letter comes, I think there is positive aspect for you  
24 all. You are definitely not part of the Air Force. You are part of the community. And from the Air  
25 Force part, when they're labeling us or your group here as a quasi group, the aspect of having to  
26 go through FOIA to get information as RAB members, I think is overtaken by events in those  
27 areas, as to where we are. So I think in a way...  
28

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me, Paul.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: . . . the interaction with us...

4  
5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me . . .

6  
7 Mr. Paul Brunner: . . . seems to have a more positive...

8  
9 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I spent two days on the phone trying to get a count of how many  
10 employees are at this base since 1995. I identified myself as a RAB person and a chairperson.  
11 And I have messed for two days trying to get that information and they have told me I have to go  
12 through the FOIA procedure again.

13  
14 Mr. Paul Brunner: Oh, really? Okay.

15  
16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: And I am not going through that again.

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: If that's the case Sheila, I think that's wrong based upon what we  
19 responded here.

20  
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I think we need some answers here. And this is not an answer, this letter.

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

24  
25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay. Chuck I need your help on this.

26  
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Sheila, Chuck Yarbrough. I would like to suggest that you ask the  
28



1 question of Paul, "How many people are on this base". Go ahead and ask him. The other thing is  
2 he has a couple of action items he is going to be working on.

3  
4 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Umhum.

5  
6 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Go ahead.

7  
8 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well, I don't really want to ask you that question right now.

9  
10 Mr. Del Callaway: Paul, how many people are presently working on McClellan Air Force  
11 Base, excluding the military? Because the military is not included in her question.

12  
13 Mr. Paul Brunner: I don't really know the answer. I know that in the rough McClellan's  
14 current population is in the range of somewhere around 10,000. And it's not part of my everyday  
15 life at McClellan to keep track of how many people.

16  
17 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me, Paul, where did you get those figures?

18  
19 Ms. Imogene Zander: Yes.

20  
21 Mr. Paul Brunner: I would have gotten those numbers from our Public Affairs folks. I'm not  
22 really sure that this is going anywhere.

23  
24 Unknown Male: I think I can answer the question, put a little light on the subject. There  
25 about a little over 7,000 employees. The number I think for total of military, civilian, and  
26 contract employees and not—appropriated fund employees is about 9,100.

1 I get a monthly update from the civilian personnel folks, so if you need to ask that question, I can  
2 get you that information currently. So the only thing I would ask is, if you want a population  
3 figure like that, give my office a call. We will try to answer those questions as expeditiously as  
4 possible, because we do have the information.

5  
6 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Can you give me the information all the way back to 1995?

7  
8 Unknown Male: I'd have to go to the base historian. The historian's got a little book he puts  
9 out every year. It is a report. I got one from 1995 in my office and it literally shows you what the  
10 base population was then.

11  
12 I just out of curiosity took a look at it because somebody, I don't know, had called a long time  
13 ago. We looked, and I think the figure was around 11,000 for civilian employees. But again, the  
14 information exists out there. The base historian has the historical data, Public Affairs has the  
15 current data. So give me a call and I'll get you in contact with the right person to get you the data  
16 you need.

17  
18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Why did they tell me to go through the FOIA? I don't understand that.

19  
20 Unknown Male: I don't know whom you were talking to.

21  
22 Ms. Sheila Guerra: They said that because I was not calling from a base telephone, or  
23 something I don't know.

24  
25 Unknown Male: In the future, if you get that kind of a response, I would either ask you to  
26 call Paul, the Environmental folks, or call me because we can help you out. Because again, we  
27 deal in a bureaucracy, if you ask the wrong question of the wrong person, you may get pointed in  
28

1 the wrong direction. I'm sorry.

2  
3 Mr. Del Callaway: Or phrase it the wrong way.

4  
5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, I found out.

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Sheila, Chuck Yarbrough here. Thank you very much for your  
8 reply there. We do need to get going because we are starting to run out of time. And people want  
9 to be out of here by 8:30, as close to it as we can.

10  
11 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well, I'd like to know what the RAB feels about this. Nobody has really  
12 given me any comment on it.

13  
14 Mr. Del Callaway: I think it's . . .

15  
16 Ms. Linda Piercy: Linda Piercy here. I would like to make a comment on this letter. It's very  
17 hard to understand. It's confusing. The last time we were present at the last RAB meeting, we  
18 talked about Air Force jargon. I think it should be put into layman's terms, because we are  
19 community members and not lawyers. Thank you.

20  
21 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's so noted. Chuck Yarbrough again. I think it's important that  
22 we have a couple of action items on this. Let them answer it and then let's get to hear their reply.

23  
24 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Who's going to put the request in?

25  
26 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, I think I already said that I had . . .

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: You're going to put the request in?

3 Mr. Paul Brunner: I already took an action to do exactly what (inaudible)

5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: So that will be an action item for the Community Relations committee  
6 then?

8 Mr. Paul Brunner: It could be that way. Its being taken this week. Sheila, we can report it that  
9 one. I'll report back here. It's an action on this group.

11 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay.

13 Mr. Del Callaway: Sheila, get the Major's phone number and stay in contact with him since  
14 he has the information.

16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay. The next item I have for you tonight is the Ride Share Audit. Did  
17 you all get a copy of that? Do you have one? Is there anyone who would like a copy of it?

19 Ms. Linda Piercy: I don't have that copy (inaudible)

21 Mr. Paul Brunner: There's copies right there.

23 Ms. Linda Piercy: Is that what we passed out?

25 Mr. Paul Brunner: I don't know if it was passed out before Linda, but it's being passed out.

27 Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's not the one I'm talking about. I didn't want that one handed out  
28

1 until I got finished giving my report.

2  
3 Unknown Male: (inaudible)

4  
5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Actually, it shouldn't read, "Ride Share Audit" because the Air District  
6 SMAQMD did not do an audit. What they ended up doing is a contract performance evaluation  
7 for 1996 and 1997. Some of you have copies of this. If you want to follow along go ahead. Does  
8 anyone want a copy while I go through this?

9  
10 The evaluation was done by Timothy Miller, Deputy District Council. There were deficiencies in  
11 this in the conclusion. "There are deficiencies in providing the deliverables required by the terms  
12 of the contract. Specifically, the summary of emissions avoiding and reducing activities for both  
13 the 1996 and the 1997 contracts." Not only that, if you go to the back of the report you will find  
14 the 1996 and the 1997 inventory receipts and expenses. And the BCC person has listed different  
15 things she spent the money on. It's not books and records, it's just a list of different things. Its all  
16 mumble jumble. There's a lot of things on here, like the Bullguy. If you list through these you're  
17 going to find hundreds of dollars spent on a little cardboard Bullguy, Wishing Well, and copying  
18 and it's really not books and records. But somehow they have these receipts and the receipts  
19 don't justify anything in this. It's not an audit. They haven't looked at books and records. It's just  
20 a summary.

21  
22 So what I asked for I didn't get. However, the conclusion from Tim Miller was that that she  
23 didn't come across with the agreement of the contract.

24  
25 Mr. Paul Brunner: Sheila, if you get to the bottom line on it, is that within the time that we  
26 are spending here, is that there was a performance evaluation that came out that essentially said  
27 that our Ride Share Program from the County's point of view was fine. They amended that audit.

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me, you're getting the cart before the horse here.

3 Mr. Paul Brunner: We are spending a...

5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'm not finished with the first part of it.

7 Mr. Paul Brunner: ... lot, Sheila, we are spending a lot of time going through to the end  
8 point.

10 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I don't care if it takes me until Doomsday.

12 Mr. Paul Brunner: Is the...

14 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'm going to get this report out and somebody is going to hear me.

16 Mr. Paul Brunner: Within the conclusion of the report, there was an audit — a performance  
17 evaluation that was. You have the amended one that the county published.

19 Ms. Imogene Zander: You amended it, though.

21 Mr. Paul Brunner: I did what?

23 Ms. Imogene Zander: You did — you amended it.

25 Mr. Paul Brunner: I'm not part of the county. I didn't amended anything, Imogene.

27 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me.

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: Within regards . . .

2  
3 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me, Paul. This is my report, this is my committee, and I chair this  
4 committee. This is my report; you are being rude and out of order by interrupting me before I  
5 complete my report. If you want to say something about this fine.

6  
7 Mr. Paul Brunner: We are also spending an inordinate amount of time of going through the  
8 project, on the agenda of where we are. And if we get to the point where . . .

9  
10 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I have not finished yet with the first evaluation that was sent out. Now this  
11 performance evaluation was very damaging.

12  
13 Mr. Paul Brunner: No, it wasn't.

14  
15 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, it was. She did not do . . .

16  
17 Mr. Paul Brunner: From the County's point of view.

18  
19 Ms. Sheila Guerra: She did not do . . .

20  
21 Mr. Paul Brunner: You're painting a picture that is not accurate.

22  
23 Ms. Sheila Guerra: She did not have the deliverables.

24  
25 Mr. Paul Brunner: You are painting a picture that is not accurate.

26  
27 Ms. Sheila Guerra: You don't do an evaluation on someone and turn around and give them  
28

1 three weeks to come up with the information.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Sheila, all I'm saying is you're painting a picture that is not accurate.

4  
5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'm not painting any picture. These are facts. Now, this was Tim Miller's  
6 evaluation. That was his conclusion all right. Three weeks later. He sends out amended  
7 performance evaluation for N. R. Simmons. At that time, three weeks later, he allows her to  
8 come up with what she couldn't provide at this performance evaluation. She did provide the  
9 information, he did his conclusion, it was sent out. But he turns around — what right does he  
10 have to turn around and give somebody — that's like the person being at the jury, you know, and  
11 coming back in there saying, "Oh, I have the evidence now after they've been convicted all  
12 right."

13  
14 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, I think the actual evidence, was that the evidence . . .

15  
16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I tell you what...

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: ...if the documents had been provided...

19  
20 Ms Sheila Guerra: I tell you what...

21  
22 Mr. Paul Brunner: ...early during the process...

23  
24 Ms Sheila Guerra: . . . right now. She did not come up with what she was supposed to for '96.  
25 You're covering up for this — it's nothing but a bunch of whitewash, waste, fraud, and abuse  
26 and you know it as well as I'm sitting here.



1 Mr. Paul Brunner: Sheila.

3 Ms. Sheila Guerra: And I am not going to get off this subject.

5 Mr. Paul Brunner: I've gone through a whole bunch of different things on that. You are  
6 absolutely...

8 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'm not getting off this subject until I get to the bottom of it and I get  
9 answers. And when I get an audit I'll get answers.

11 Mr. Paul Brunner: Sheila, I also have a letter here that we have from the district that they  
12 gave to their internal district from the attorneys and from the County that would say that the  
13 program is fine.

15 Ms. Imogene Zander: How much (inaudible)

17 Mr. Paul Brunner: I'm not part of the County, and that's where the issue stands. And you can  
18 have your opinion, you can make your statement, I think we should move on to the next subject.

20 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'll tell you where we are moving onto. There's other people out here that  
21 are looking at this issue and they're going to continue to investigate. So you can do all the talking  
22 you want.

24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, Sheila. You know you've had your time and that's fine. And  
25 you've been able to present what you've found so far. And if you want to proceed on with it  
26 you've the RAB's blessing to proceed on with it, if there's something to it.

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: They have given me the blessing already.

3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, I'm saying that's fine, he...

5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: What I'm saying is...

7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

9 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'm just upset here with the fact that I wasn't able to complete my first  
10 report here on the evaluation because Paul butted in and rudely interrupted me.

12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well.

14 Ms. Sheila Guerra: No, there's no well. This is out of sorts.

16 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well.

18 Unknown Male: Okay, let's not . . .

20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I'm just saying, do you have something else you want to say about  
21 the first report, that you didn't go through?

23 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, I do. Because what it states is that the money that's provided for  
24 McClellan is provided through a TMA program. And when they give the money to the Ride  
25 Share person, which is the outside contractor, she's not providing the money. McClellan gives  
26 money contributions to be in this TMA system, you know. And they gave money for 1996, and  
27 they didn't give any money for '97, but they called Rebecca Garrison, the TMA that year but the  
28

1 first year, they said McClellan was the TMA.

2  
3 So there's a lot of questions to be answered in these contracts, because we are not just looking at  
4 1996 and 1997, we are looking at 1992 and up to 1999 where she was also awarded the new  
5 contract. She got the contract for another year here. I haven't got all the pieces to the puzzle yet. I  
6 don't have all of the contracts in front of me. I will continue to look at this Ride Share issue until  
7 I get the help I need and the audit and we get some more information on where the money went.

8  
9 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: And if you come up with some evidence to that aspect, then you're  
10 welcome to bring it back here.

11  
12 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well, there are agreements if you read the contract, Chuck. I gave you a  
13 copy of it. You can read those contracts and you can see where she did not provide to the  
14 agreement of the contract. She was to spend so much money on incentive programs and she did  
15 not do that. She spent that money on things she wanted to spend it on.

16  
17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well she — the problem . . .

18  
19 Ms. Sheila Guerra: There was no modification to the contract. Under their agreement with the  
20 Air District, they were to have a signed agreement for any modifications to those contracts and  
21 that never happened. Not only that, Chuck, let me remind you . . .

22  
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough; You haven't given me . . .

24  
25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: She has had . . . Listen.

26  
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay.

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: She has had this contract since '92. The Air District has been giving her  
2 money. They are supposed to check on her, check her books and records, and check her  
3 emissions evaluations and everything else. And they have failed to do that all these years, until I  
4 started to investigate this. I find out that they have not investigated, they have not looked at  
5 anything. And now I have to go further on with this, because they are not giving me answers.  
6 They're covering up. They said in the first performance evaluation that it was not up to par.  
7 Okay, what do? They do they give her three weeks to come up with...

8  
9 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We have to go on.

10  
11 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Whatever.

12  
13 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, I want you to know you can bring that information back to  
14 the RAB. But the RAB has to read the documentation that they haven't had a chance to read.

15  
16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I have given that out to several people. And I believe one of them was you.  
17 Did you get a chance to read it yet?

18  
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I've read part of it, I haven't read all of it.

20  
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay.

22  
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I've had other things . . .

24  
25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I have some more copies here if people would like to look at them.  
26 Merianne has copies of the second evaluation, the amended evaluation that went out. And if all  
27 of the RAB members can look over this and please come to the next Community Relations  
28

1 Committee we will discuss this further.

2  
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay.

4  
5 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Excuse me, Chuck, can I ask a question?

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Go ahead.

8  
9 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Bill Sheppard, community member. In the interest of time I'd like to move  
10 on. But I would like to ask hopefully what is a yes or no question. Do these summary of  
11 emissions avoiding or reducing activities report exist or not?

12  
13 Ms. Sheila Guerra: The one that came up was in the amended performance evaluation. She  
14 didn't have those at the first evaluation. She came up with those through a company. And I'm not  
15 sure. I haven't investigated that part of it, yet. But as far as I know . . .

16  
17 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Is that a yes or no?

18  
19 Mr. Paul Brunner: The answer is yes.

20  
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: One, for one year.

22  
23 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Is it in this document? Where is it?

24  
25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: No, I haven't got a copy of it yet. No.

26  
27 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Okay.

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I don't know if it really exists.

3 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Is it going to be produced at some time?

5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'm going to request it.

7 Ms. Imogene Zander: I'm sure that it will, now.

9 Mr. Bill Sheppard: I suggest that we move on to the next item now.

11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, go ahead.

13 Ms. Imogene Zander: I said I'm sure they'll produce something.

15 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Why don't we wait and see?

17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, Sheila it's still your turn.

19 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Oh, okay. We'll move on. I'm sorry that this got interrupted. I could have  
20 gotten it out a lot faster if I wouldn't have interruptions.

22 Okay, the next thing on my report is the Community Relations Plan update, which should be out  
23 November 19<sup>th</sup>, the draft. And then I guess the final should be out January 18<sup>th</sup>. Is that correct  
24 Merianne? Where are you?

26 Mr. Del Callaway: Excuse me, Sheila. Did you pass over the repository move?

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, I did. I checked it off as a matter of fact. Merianne, do you have a  
2 date on the final? When is the final coming out?

3  
4 Ms. Merianne Briggs: The final CRP document will be out April 19<sup>th</sup> 1999.

5  
6 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay. Now we'll go back to the Information Repository move. That's  
7 what Merianne was talking about. We are going to be moving from the Rio Linda . . .

8  
9 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Library.

10  
11 Ms. Sheila Guerra: No, we are moving into the Rio Linda Library. And that's what Merianne  
12 was talking about so. What?

13  
14 Ms. Imogene Zander: We are going to be moving (inaudible)

15  
16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: No, the Information Repository is moving over to the Rio Linda Library,  
17 in which we'll have a computer over there for RAB members. And Merianne do you want to  
18 continue?

19  
20 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Okay, there's already a Web access over at Rio Linda Library. And  
21 what I was requesting was a vote tonight to remove the microfiche machine, and the little  
22 cassettes that we have over at the Rio Linda/Elverta Community Center. And I would like to  
23 have that pulled out by the end of the year, since community members can access our records  
24 either at North Highlands Library, Rio Linda Library, via our Web site, or come over to the  
25 Information Repository at EM in Building 269D, McClellan.

26  
27 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Thank you, Merianne. I would like to make a motion that we accept that  
28

1 move.

2  
3 Mr. Del Callaway: Second.

4  
5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, discussion.

6  
7 Mr. Del Callaway: Call for the question.

8  
9 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. All those in favor lift your right hand. All those opposed do  
10 the same. Oh, did . . .

11  
12 Mr. Paul Brunner: Did we get the count?

13  
14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Did we get the count?

15  
16 Ms. Merianne Briggs: The count is unanimous: 10 out of 10.

17  
18 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, well . . .

19  
20 Unknown Male: We have 11 (inaudible)

21  
22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, Simeon . . .

23  
24 Ms. Merianne Briggs: I'm sorry, if we could . . .

25  
26 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okoroike, is that how you pronounce your last name? What's that?



1 Mr. Simeon Okoroike Simeon Okoroike.

2  
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Simeon is, here by the way. He snuck in on us. Good to see you  
4 Simeon. Okay, anybody opposed do the same. Abstain do the same. Okay, it's passed.

5  
6 Mr. Paul Brunner: So it was ten. 10 voted, yes?

7  
8 Ms. Imogene Zander: Eleven.

9  
10 Mr. Del Callaway: Where do you get 11? There was only 10.

11  
12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Simeon, he just came in.

13  
14 Mr. Del Callaway: Six, seven, there's only three voters over here.

15  
16 Ms. Merianne Briggs: The count was out of 10 voting members, there was 10  
17 acceptances.

18  
19 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

20  
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: At our last Community Relations Meeting, Merianne and Roxanne gave a  
22 presentation and a report on the new changes on the FY98 and 99 budget. In those changes, we  
23 are going to be including a new security guard, a mailing list, and a change in the minutes. And  
24 there's some other things and I'll go over some of those things briefly, but we are not going to hit  
25 everything on this because it took them 2 ½ hours to actually give the report. And you should all  
26 have a copy of the budget list in front of you. So does anyone have any comments on the budget?

1 Ms. Linda Piercy: Linda Piercy here. Are you referring to this first page Community  
2 Relations Budget changes? I'm wondering why everything is so expensive. I don't understand.  
3 Can someone explain that to me?  
4

5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Let me fill you in just for a second. Sheila speaking. And the budget that  
6 we first had out was \$347,975. The modification to that contract is going to be, I believe  
7 \$130,431.  
8

9 Mr. Paul Brunner: Linda, in trying to respond to your question as to why it's so expensive,  
10 are you talking about the changes that are additions to the Public Affairs one? Within there what  
11 we do is go through and we estimate the hours that are to be done for the particular task, the work  
12 that we want to do. And we go to a contractional company to do the contract work with. And  
13 there's a price arrangement that we work out with them. And those are prices that come back  
14 from a contractor to do the work on it. And that's the typical rate for this type of labor and  
15 charges that the companies would do.  
16

17 It does look high but those are the very standard prices that people do for this type of labor.  
18 Within the PA specialist, if you see various things that are listed like that, those are typically not  
19 a salary. In fact they're not salary, they're just a dollar amount that will be there that the company  
20 reaps. There's an overhead, there's a benefit, there's other things that are all rolled in there that  
21 are not indicative of the person. But a company has a right to a profit and different things that  
22 they have. And that's the way business is done. But those are the current rates that we have with  
23 the company and they're negotiated.  
24

25 Ms. Linda Piercy: And so you talked to more than one company?  
26

27 Mr. Paul Brunner: On this particular one, we went to the one that's under contract with rates  
28

1 that we had before which is Radian Corporation. And there's certain rates that are all ready built  
2 into the contract that we negotiated earlier with on these type of tasks. There's different types of  
3 things. So on this one we just went to one company, which was Radian Corporation already  
4 under contract.

5  
6 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Paul, I would like to say a couple of things about in the contract that I had  
7 some feedback on.

8  
9 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

10  
11 Ms. Sheila Guerra: From people calling me. They're concerned with this mailing list. No one  
12 can agree with that, is what I want to tell you. It's just too much money. Maybe back in the 80s  
13 something like this might have worked for McClellan for an outreach to get public awareness and  
14 that sort of thing. But now that we are downsizing and this base is closing, it's taxpayers dollars  
15 that you're looking at and how many people would we get from spending \$17,000 dollars on a  
16 mailing now.

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes, from the Community Relations Meeting that we went through  
19 Sheila...

20  
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Right.

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: . . . and discussion, we've had discussions about that internally, too. And I  
24 would agree with you. We are looking at how we can downsize.

25  
26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: So I recommend that we drop that completely and work with the mailing  
27 list that we do have. And you could work with the Community Relations committee on that,

1 because I've had some really great suggestions from some of these people...

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

4  
5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: . . . on different ways that we can cut cost to the taxpayers and not spend  
6 another \$130,000 on this outrageous budget. And that's pretty much all I have to say about the  
7 budget.

8  
9 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Chuck Yarbrough here. I just wanted to say one thing. One of the  
10 ways we can get out to the public is maybe perhaps another advertisement in the *Bee* regarding  
11 our other RAB meeting, rather than extending the mailing list, which most people grab in the  
12 mail and throw it away anyhow. But it's just an idea. And of course making sure that all the free  
13 publications have announcements of all our meetings. Because they're all out in the public, all  
14 accessible to the public. We would like the public to be able to attend; anyone in the  
15 neighborhood.

16  
17 Mr. Paul Brunner: I would agree that we should look at the best way to spend the money. I  
18 know that during the Community Relations Meeting when we did discuss it, if my memory is  
19 right. I thought we all thought that was a good idea, the size and scope we needed to check and  
20 cost and that, but the idea of doing what we are doing.

21  
22 Ms. Sheila Guerra: But we have to come down to reality.

23  
24 Mr. Paul Brunner: I understand that.

25  
26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Reality is, it's just too much.

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: But for our own records as we discussed around that table on that, your  
2 comment was that you thought it was a good idea.

3  
4 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well, I think the mailing list is a good idea. And I think that the RAB  
5 should have access to that. And that we work on what we have and not extend a contract, you  
6 know to \$17,000 and these types of mailouts . . .

7  
8 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

9  
10 Ms. Sheila Guerra: . . .that were included also. I did speak to Merianne briefly about our last  
11 public ad that was in the *Sacramento Bee*. And I know that we are going to touch base on that  
12 again at the next CR meeting, to go over some changes on that ad also.

13  
14 Ms. Merianne Briggs: If I . . .

15  
16 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

17  
18 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs. If I may go ahead and add, it may answer Chuck  
19 also. As far as putting out advertisements for the Public Relations meetings, I mean for the RAB  
20 meetings, it is budgeted to do all of our public RABs, to be put into the *Bee*. We've also talked  
21 with getting it into the *Rio Linda News*.

22  
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Excellent.

24  
25 Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes. Thank you, Merianne.

26  
27 Ms. Linda Piercy: Excuse me, Linda Piercy here. Who are the people on the mailing list and  
28

1 can we use a different mailing list? Do we have to use the mailing list that you have? And it's  
2 \$17,000 for this mailing list—why?

3  
4 Mr. Paul Brunner: There's a series of questions on the mailing list. Who's on the mailing list?  
5 Two thousand people are on the mailing list and I don't have them memorized.

6  
7 Ms. Linda Piercy: I don't mean it like that.

8  
9 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

10  
11 Ms. Linda Piercy: But there's a possibility that I could get a mailing list for District 6. I'm  
12 just wondering — I can get that free. So I'm wondering why it cost them \$2,000?

13  
14 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well with that you're referring to the mailing list proposal that we had at  
15 the Community Relations? That was an attempt to work through the postal service and other  
16 things with ZIP codes that we had around the area, as to how we would get the word out. That  
17 was a presentation that we presented to the Community Relations. Roxanne or Merianne, either  
18 one of you want to make an additional comment on that?

19  
20 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Roxanne Yonn. In an effort to expand the mailing list or to reach more  
21 people, one way you do that is to go into ZIP codes in surrounding areas and mass mail to all the  
22 residents. And what the cost would involve is the purchase of the mail list. And then you have a  
23 company that does the bulk mailing. So there's a cost involved in that. But it also saves you  
24 money, because if you just put it in the mail box, it'll be 32 cents or more. The bulk mail — they  
25 put it according to mail routes, and they do all the paperwork for the Post Office, so they just  
26 have to give it to the letter carrier. So in a bulk mail situation you save money. The other things  
27 that were included is the printing of the literature.

1 Ms. Linda Piercy: So what's the purchase of the mailing list itself? Because you were  
2 categorizing there.

3  
4 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Buying the mailing list? It would depend upon how many ZIP codes. We  
5 had presented five ZIP codes and I can get that information to you. If you'd like to have a little  
6 handout on that I can do that.

7  
8 Ms. Sheila Guerra: We have a map on that Roxanne.

9  
10 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Right, what we presented to the Community Relations Committee. If  
11 everyone would just like to see that we can do that.

12  
13 Ms. Linda Piercy: So how many districts does that include 2,000 names? Just out of  
14 curiosity.

15  
16 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: The 2,000 names is our mailing list we currently have. That has been built  
17 up over the years. In the past there was another kind of larger mailing, and out of that the people  
18 who responded that wanted to be on it. Also included in that mailing list is people who come to  
19 these meetings and sign in that they want to be on it.

20  
21 Ms. Linda Piercy: So it's updated?

22  
23 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Yes. Say we sent the newsletter out and the Post Office returns and says  
24 the person has moved. Then it gets updated. Anytime a mailing goes out and comes back, it gets  
25 updated. But this is something that's been developed over the years. You have elected  
26 representatives on it, interested people, the different agencies are also represented. Anyone who  
27 has requested through any means they're added.

1 Ms. Linda Piercy: Okay.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: So Linda, if you had additional names to add to the list, we can add those  
4 to that current list of 2,000.

5  
6 Ms. Linda Piercy: Oh, so you can use those names?

7  
8 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Certainly, if you provide us with names.

9  
10 Mr. Paul Brunner: If you have people when they sign up here to be on the list at the meetings,  
11 and if you have additional names we can add those.

12  
13 Ms. Linda Piercy: Okay.

14  
15 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: If you can provide to Merianne or myself any other additional names, you  
16 know, that's all we'd need to do. We just add them and it will come out in the next mailing.

17  
18 Ms. Imogene Zander: Well, would it cost \$1,000 and etc. dollars if I have every address  
19 from here to Folsom. So just me, myself, and I have more than your whole company.

20  
21 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Well, I think it would be the decision and this is just my personal opinion  
22 of the RAB, if you wanted to send out to those folks an informational thing, do you want to be on  
23 our list we can do perhaps a one-time mailing.

24  
25 Ms. Linda Piercy: What we are having a problem with is the money.

26  
27 Ms. Imogene Zander: Is that why you're charging so much?  
28



1 Ms. Linda Piercy: We are going, "\$17,000 what"? That's what we are having a problem  
2 with.

3  
4 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: That's for 60,000.

5  
6 Ms. Linda Piercy: 60,000.

7  
8 Ms. Imogene Zander: Well, why are you charging that? You can get it free. Would you  
9 like the names, the addresses, would you like me to do your work for you? I can, for nothing.

10  
11 Mr. Paul Brunner: Imogene. Into this discussion where we are is that, we went to the  
12 Community Relations group. We presented a case as to how we can expand the message. We  
13 went with due diligence, presented information, got buy-in on the approach that we would scope  
14 within the Community Relations Committee, in which we are doing. We are working with you.  
15 We come to this meeting here to get clobbered about the cost about things that we have worked  
16 through.

17  
18 Ms. Linda Piercy: Well excuse me, but we have a right...

19  
20 Ms. Imogene Zander: Right, what did you expect?

21  
22 Ms. Linda Piercy: . . . wonder where this money is going. I'm not directing anything  
23 personally at you, Paul.

24  
25 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

26  
27 Ms. Linda Piercy: I have a right to know. And when I see these figures I don't understand the  
28

1 cost.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

4  
5 Ms. Linda Piercy: And I think I have a right to ask.

6  
7 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. I apologize if I took it that way.

8  
9 Ms. Linda Piercy: It's not you at all.

10  
11 Mr. Paul Brunner: But within the realm of where we are, of discussion that we go through on  
12 this effort, where a lot of this type of discussion that we have — a place to have this discussion, I  
13 think, about cost, is the Community Relations Meeting.

14  
15 If that group is to be dynamic and effective, it would be good to have the members come and  
16 actually work through those costs, to answer those questions and not take a lot of time at this  
17 particular meeting for them to go through it.

18  
19 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me.

20  
21 Ms. Linda Piercy: I won't take that personally Paul.

22  
23 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well, we should have.

24  
25 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

26  
27 Ms. Sheila Guerra: You had the opportunity to change this agenda. And you knew all this was  
28

1 going to be on the agenda tonight. We had a low turnout on the CR meeting. That's why I  
2 suggested because we didn't have time to really give input on it at our last CR meeting.

3  
4 But I do have some things that I would like to recommend at this point. In all fairness, we will go  
5 back to the CR committee, at our regular scheduled committee and we will take a vote on it at  
6 that time; whether the community RAB members agree to modification FY98/99 contract. Is that  
7 all right with you? Anybody?

8  
9 Mr. Paul Brunner: I think that's all right.

10  
11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Chuck Yarbrough here. Are you still planning on changing the  
12 meeting date to November?

13  
14 Ms. Sheila Guerra: No. I would rather keep it just like it is. It's scheduled for December 16<sup>th</sup>.  
15 Does anybody have a problem with that day? And one other thing I just want to mention, since  
16 we have everything on this agenda, the security guards are already in place and their cost is  
17 \$3,240.00.

18  
19 The mailing list, we'll vote on that also at the CR meeting. Now the last thing on here is the new  
20 verbatim minutes. I'll just open it for brief discussion on any comments, on verbatim minutes.

21  
22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, Chuck Yarbrough here. I would like to see the verbatim  
23 minutes stick to the point of actual statements from RAB members concerning business. I know  
24 on the last minutes for instance, every cough, every sneeze, all the words that could not be  
25 understood that said "mumble." I don't think that is necessary.

26  
27 Also I was counting a RAB vote. I hope that's not something that's on these minutes "1, 2, 3, 4,  
28

1 5, 6". Guess what was on the minutes? The count. If you think that's good for the minutes but I  
2 don't. So I'd like to see only the pertinent information being put in there as far as verbatim goes,  
3 not all the sneezes the "uh's" and all that stuff. Just the actual statement that someone is trying to  
4 put across. Then if that person wants an "um" or "u" or something like that put in that they  
5 stated, then they can so object to the minutes and say they want that added. So that's my  
6 discussion right there.

7  
8 Mr. Del Callaway: Del Callaway here. I was talking to Paul earlier today about the minutes  
9 and I told him that I didn't like the minutes the way they were. However, it's not what we wanted  
10 and I don't think it was what all of us wanted anyway, but it's exactly what we asked for and he  
11 agreed with me. But I don't think that's really what we meant back there. Again on interpretation  
12 of what you mean what you say, or you say what you mean, however. I think we need to regroup  
13 and look at this again and come up with a better solution for what we want in our minutes.

14  
15 I also discussed with him the Internet. There's other RABs across the country. At night when I go  
16 home I read their minutes. I don't think I would like these minutes on the Internet. Our minutes  
17 were on the Internet in the past, but I haven't seen them on there lately Paul.

18  
19 Mr. Paul Brunner: That's because they're not approved.

20  
21 Mr. Del Callaway: Yes, anyway, I wouldn't put them on there until we decide what they  
22 should look like. So that's my comment on it.

23  
24 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay, well . . .

25  
26 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, Chuck Yarbrough here. Just one other comment is that these  
27 are not like stenographer-type minutes where we have a court. What do they call the reporter?

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Stenographer.

2  
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Stenographer. Whatever you want to say, typing them out like that.  
4 We just wanted a conversation to be put on the tape what people were saying, rather than a  
5 summary of what people were saying, because too much of what was being communicated to  
6 RAB members was being left out.

7  
8 But on the other hand, you can take it too far one way or the other. And to go way far over to put  
9 every sneeze and every "uh" and every count that you go up to, is going the other way. So I don't  
10 think anybody wanted that. I was just clarifying that for people that are taking minutes, so that it  
11 would be better understood. If anybody disagrees with what I said, speak up.

12  
13 Mr. Randy Adams: This is Randy Adams with the Department of Toxics. I have a suggestion  
14 if I may.

15  
16 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Go ahead.

17  
18 Mr. Randy Adams: One way that we handle our RPM meetings, up front there's a disclaimer  
19 that, this is something that I don't know if you've looked at recently, but it kind of says that none  
20 of these comments are binding. And I think that takes away a lot of everyone's fear about being  
21 misquoted. That may be something you all want to consider going back to. A minutes format and  
22 inserting some language that this is strictly a summary and comments made here are not binding.  
23 Take a look at our RPM format that we use at the Remedial Project Managers Meeting. Just a  
24 suggestion.

25  
26 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. Sheila, why don't we address this at the Community  
27 Relations in detail?

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'll agree with that. We'll have this on our next CR agenda.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Chuck, per your comment as for tonight's minutes as we go through to  
4 produce them, I think they'd read better if we go through the transcript to take out the "mumble"  
5 and those types of words, and maybe just say "inaudible." Some term like that versus "mumbled"  
6 and where it was in those terms. But the rest of the transcript as it is, I'm going to ask the folks to  
7 produce it where it is, because we were working back and forth on subjectivity as to what's in  
8 and what's not in the minutes and what was said and what was not said. If we start to delete, I'm  
9 not quite sure what guidance I would tell the minute taker to what to take out and what is  
10 sensitive to each one of you. And that really became a point because what's sensitive to me might  
11 not be sensitive to Imogene or to Sheila. It might be sensitive to you as to what's being said. So  
12 for the sake of these minutes, as we work through so I can tell the folks what to do, if we just  
13 want those areas where it's inaudible just to say "inaudible." But the minutes are the transcribed  
14 thing that we have. Hopefully the Community Relations group can come up with a better  
15 solution. I propose that we do that.

16  
17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's okay. That's acceptable to me for this time and the  
18 Community Relations could take that up later.

19  
20 Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's acceptable to me, yes. I can work with Merianne on the next  
21 agenda. So anybody that wants to come to our CR meeting is welcome to come. If you're not on  
22 that committee just come and give your input on the minutes and this budget.

23  
24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: And I would like to make a note here to the public. Any public  
25 members that are out there are community folk, live around the area, all of our meetings are open  
26 to the public and you're welcome to attend and ask any questions you want at any of our  
27 meetings.

1 And are you finished now Sheila with your report?

2  
3 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes.

4  
5 **Base Reuse/Relative Risk Ranking**

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, then we are going to go to Base Reuse/Relative Ranking,  
8 Del Callaway.

9  
10 Mr. Del Callaway: We had our Relative Risk meeting and we were updated by Mr. Rob  
11 Leonard on the plastic company. They're still interested in the warehouse. At the meeting he  
12 ensured us that there was no chemicals going to be used and that the pellets would come in by  
13 rail car.

14  
15 A few other things were discussed. For the interest of time, I won't go into that because it had to  
16 do with got the contract and that's still up in the air.

17  
18 The other thing was the Emergency Vehicle Obstacle Course. They're looking at other properties  
19 and that's not a done deal for McClellan. They're also looking at other sites on McClellan. So  
20 that's in the distance away. The other thing is the TAPP and we have Mr. Straus here tonight to  
21 give us some insight on the TAPP. So I'll ask Mr. Straus to step forward.

22  
23 Mr. Peter Straus: Hi, my name is Peter Straus. I hope I speak in clear sentences but I never  
24 do. I'm a technical advisor. I met Chuck Yarbrough at a Natural Attenuation Conference in  
25 Millbrae. And I have been doing this for almost 10 years now; technical advisor to community  
26 groups.

1 I have just signed my eleventh technical assistance grant (inaudible) spanning eight Superfund  
2 sites. Technical assistance grants are funded to community groups by the Environmental  
3 Protection Agency to help them in the decision making process. A technical assistance program  
4 is really designed around that same issue, to help the community groups on the RAB to better  
5 participate in the technical decision making process.

6  
7 My role and responsibilities vary enormously from group to group, but I comment on feasibility  
8 studies, RODs, EE/CAs, remedial action plans, remedial designs, the whole range of issues that  
9 you would face at a base like this, at McClellan. I also sit on, although I'm a non-voting member  
10 the Moffett Field RAB. I sat on the Unified Community Advisory Board located in Tucson and  
11 that was for Air Force Plant 44, which is another one of my sites.

12  
13 The federal facilities that I have been working on are Lawrence Livermore National Lab Site 300,  
14 which is a high explosive area, Moffett Field and the Air Force Plant 44 in Tucson, Arizona. And  
15 and I sit on various committees representing stakeholders or communities as a result of my  
16 involvement with all these groups. There's a cross-fertilization, which I think is a very valuable  
17 to bring that perspective. In the interest of time and I know you are pressed for time, I will make  
18 myself available to answer any questions now or I'll stay after the meeting, if anybody has any  
19 questions. Thank you.

20  
21 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Thank you very much.

22  
23 Mr. Del Callaway: Thank you, Mr. Straus. Okay that just about does it with the exception of  
24 Bill Gibson. Do you have anything? You attended the other meeting with the agencies?

25  
26 Mr. Bill Gibson: (inaudible)



1 Mr. Del Callaway: You have . . .

2  
3 Mr. Bill Gibson: You want which version?

4  
5 Mr. Del Callaway: I don't know if you had an update or anything on your . . .

6  
7 Mr. Bill Gibson: Nothing particular is going on today, mostly it was wrapup.

8  
9 Mr. Del Callaway: Okay.

10  
11 Mr. Bill Gibson: Which means we are working on resolving some past issues and planning  
12 new issues. There's nothing ongoing which needs to be brought here right now.

13  
14 Mr. Del Callaway: Okay, thank you. There's only one thing. I generally don't do this, but I  
15 made a mistake. It's unusual too. I printed out my copies on the Web page. I gave you some bad  
16 information. I stapled the wrong one to the present one. Your number is 1,000,286. The old  
17 number that I was working with was 882, so I reversed them. I'm sorry about that. So you do  
18 have that many hits. And the other thing is the gentleman that built the airport out in Rio Linda, I  
19 sure would like to talk to him again, if anybody knows him invite him to our next meeting.  
20 That's all I have.

21  
22 **Technical Report Review**

23  
24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, time for the Technical Report Committee and that's me on  
25 the spot. We are now reviewing the *5-Year Plan* and also we have the quarterly monitoring report  
26 that should have been circulating. I had some problems but Sheila has the *5-Year Review*. Are  
27 you finished with that now?

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Not finished yet.

2  
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, when you get finished you need to circulate that around. I  
4 have one also now. So we'll be passing around to you other members and I will be contacting the  
5 rest of you people. I forgot to establish a date for our next meeting. Perhaps at the end of this  
6 meeting I will have figured out a date and see if anybody objects to it. If not, we'll go with that  
7 date. But that's about it from the Technical Report Committee, except that we have several  
8 reports coming up still. So we will go right now into Public. . .

9  
10 **RAB Advisory Worksheet Report**

11  
12 Mr. Paul Brunner: The worksheet.

13  
14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The worksheet yes.

15  
16 Mr. Paul Brunner: It's really pretty quick on the RAB. We only have one outstanding  
17 worksheet and Chuck was just mentioning, which is a 5-year review. As you work through it and  
18 the response time was 2 November. But that's the only outstanding worksheet that you guys are  
19 working on and that's it.

20  
21 **Public Comment and Questions**

22  
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Thank you. So now without further ado, we'll allow public  
24 comment during this period, for our first public comment period. Any such comments right now?  
25 Yes.

26  
27 Mr. Frank Miller: Frank Miller. I think that spending \$482,000...

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: Frank. Why don't you use the mike. Make sure we get it down.

3 Mr. Frank Miller: I'm having back problems tonight.

5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, can you take the mike?

7 Mr. Paul Brunner: Can we take the mike to him Roxanne?

9 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Unfortunately we can't, because if we take it beyond the speakers, it'll  
10 feed back.

12 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well can you try? I think it reaches.

14 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: No you'll get feedback from the speakers.

16 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well I don't want . . .

18 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: We can move a chair.

20 Mr. Frank Miller: Frank Miller. I'm a former Air Force bioenvironmental engineer for  
21 McClellan. I think that at this point in time, spending \$482,000 on a Community Relations  
22 budget is a gross misuse for public funds. The emphasis ought to be on cutting back on wasting  
23 tax funds. I also think that spending \$130,000 to Radian for this 60,000 mailing list idea, is also a  
24 gross misuse of public funds. This mailing list and these flyers will become junk mail in people's  
25 mailboxes and I think at this point in time that idea ought be scrapped.

27 As far as the Community Relations budget, I think you ought to start off with a blank sheet of  
28

1 paper and say how much money do we need to spend to do the job now in FY99 with considering  
2 the demise of McClellan?

3  
4 The next item is for Mr. Brunner, and I would like to ask do you have any more plans for any  
5 more of these brochures or calendars in the future?

6  
7 Mr. Paul Brunner: I don't think we have one planned. I think those are sufficient for the  
8 closure.

9  
10 Mr. Frank Miller: Do you think that'll do it? Okay, that's great. My next question is  
11 concerning the Ride Share.

12  
13 Mr. Paul Brunner: Frank, the only exception of that and we don't have that, is if the Air Force  
14 was to come down and said to do it. Then we would be in that process of doing something for  
15 historical preservation. Right now, I don't have that guidance for that so we don't have any other  
16 plans.

17  
18 Mr. Frank Miller: So then you don't reject any of scatter brain schemes for blowing money?

19  
20 Mr. Paul Brunner: No, that's not what I said. I said that we would, if we got a request from  
21 headquarters, (inaudible)

22  
23 Mr. Frank Miller: You know you don't reach . . .

24  
25 Mr. Paul Brunner: Frank I'm responding to your question.

26  
27 Mr. Frank Miller: Okay.

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: Your question was, "Would we ever do that?" My comment was I don't  
2 have any of those plans. I don't think if necessary to pursue that. But if headquarters had some  
3 reason to ask for us to do it and we had some compelling reason, then we'd go there. I don't  
4 know of one, but later on in the record when we come back to say that we were not going to do it,  
5 then I don't know, we would have to assess that at the time. We don't have those plans.

6  
7 Mr. Frank Miller: After the decision was made to close McClellan Air Force Base,  
8 Environmental Management acquired a rock crusher for about \$700,000. I would like to what is  
9 happening with this \$700,000 rock crusher, that I would consider a misuse of public funds. For  
10 Environmental Management to spend \$700,000 on a piece of mining equipment, is a gross  
11 misuse of public funds and perhaps Mr. Vincent can tell us the status of making little rocks out of  
12 big rocks.

13  
14 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well actually, Jerry, I asked within the time, the rock crusher has paid for  
15 itself over in time for the rock crushing that we have for gravel base and so if there's more that  
16 the RAB needs as far as we can put together a paper and get the facts together as to the rock  
17 crusher.

18  
19 It's been a great experience to have that. As far as its future use for the rock crusher, it's a piece  
20 of equipment eventually get cleared through the LRA as to their future use and intent; just like  
21 other pieces of equipment that we have at the Air Force, at McClellan, as we close.

22  
23 Mr. Frank Miller: And I take it that you see no problem with McClellan acquiring this  
24 \$700,000 rock crusher after it was decided that the base would close? You don't see a problem  
25 with that?

26  
27 Mr. Paul Brunner: Jerry, did we have the rock crusher after the base closed or before?  
28

1 Mr. Jerry Vincent: No, we got it before.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Before, okay, so your facts were off.

4  
5 Mr. Frank Miller: Well, I'm saying you acquired the rock crusher after the base was closed, it  
6 was delivered.

7  
8 Mr. Paul Brunner: You didn't hear what was just said that we acquired — the timing of the  
9 rock crusher was what Jerry?

10  
11 Mr. Jerry Vincent: We acquired it January '95. The installation and operations and delivery of  
12 it was December '95.

13  
14 Mr. Frank Miller: Okay then, that straightens that out. The delivery of it was in . . .

15  
16 Mr. Jerry Vincent: December.

17  
18 Mr. Frank Miller: December '95 and the base was closed in June '95.

19  
20 Mr. Paul Brunner: And the rock crusher is still being used.

21  
22 Mr. Frank Miller: Six months after they decided, you decided to close McClellan, you took  
23 delivery of a rock crusher, a \$700,000 machine.

24  
25 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Please summarize; time limit's up.

26  
27 Mr. Frank Miller: And I take it that you see no problem that EM would pay for this — pay  
28

1 the \$700,000 for this? Why should that \$700,000 come from Superfund money?

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: It didn't. The rock crusher did not come from Superfund money. It came  
4 from our Pollution Prevention funds, right for the direct intent of reducing cost. The rock crusher  
5 is used to get rid of concrete, instead of having disposal cost to a landfill, we take the concrete  
6 from our efforts on base, it is pulverized into sub-base for road construction, which still goes on  
7 at base. At time where we have for activities and it has paid for itself. I think we are under your  
8 three minutes, so...?

9  
10 Mr. Frank Miller: I just had one other short question.

11  
12 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

13  
14 Mr. Frank Miller: At the July RAB meeting, I asked you about your authorization and your  
15 inclusion in this five person trip to Washington, DC to pick up a letter. I asked you the total  
16 expenses for those five people.

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: I reported that at the last RAB meeting. Did we bring another copy of that  
19 handout for tonight? I think I may even have one, Frank...

20  
21 Mr. Frank Miller: And I asked you the total cost of the five people to pick up that letter and  
22 you were non-responsive and then I asked you what was your expenses on that trip to  
23 Washington and you didn't even know what your expenses were. So...

24  
25 Mr. Paul Brunner: Are you through now? Because I did report it at the last meeting. I think  
26 we have the next public person.

1 Mr. Frank Miller: Okay. Well I expected that when a question is asked at this RAB meeting,  
2 that the answer would be forthcoming at the next RAB meeting.

3  
4 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, he says he has the answer.

5  
6 Mr. Paul Brunner: I did respond at the last RAB, you weren't here. I did respond.

7  
8 Mr. Frank Miller: I don't mean those little minutia meetings, I mean the big RAB meeting.

9  
10 Mr. Paul Brunner: They're all RAB meetings now, Frank. Each one of these is RAB  
11 meetings.

12  
13 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's right Frank, we are now...

14  
15 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

16  
17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: . . . in the process of having more RAB meetings that you can  
18 attend. Because we are going to go to an eight . . .

19  
20 Mr. Frank Miller: You see that's part.

21  
22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: . . . year.

23  
24 Mr. Frank Miller: Yes.

25  
26 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: . . . eight per year meetings.



1 Mr. Frank Miller: And that's part of the problem is that more RAB meetings mean more cost  
2 to the taxpayers, more unnecessary cost to the taxpayer.

3  
4 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No.

5  
6 Mr. Frank Miller: And we need to be cutting down, not gearing up and figuring out how to  
7 spend more money. Not as Ms. Margaret Gidding leaves Environmental Management and she's  
8 replaced with a \$130,000 cost by Radian.

9  
10 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, I'm sorry Frank, but you're wrong there because you see we  
11 have already had eight meetings. Eight RAB meetings per year. But this way we are having it out  
12 in the community, that's the only difference. We've already had them in the past. We are not  
13 adding them, we are just making them more convenient to the neighbors. So you are wrong in  
14 that particular case.

15  
16 Mr. Frank Miller: Well, that would be fine, if it weren't costing more money to the  
17 taxpayers.

18  
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I don't think that cost.

20  
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me, Sheila Guerra speaking. I have a question about the executive  
22 meetings, the four executive meetings that have turned into RAB meetings. So now we have  
23 eight RAB meetings a year. Okay Paul Brunner, this question is for you. How much is our cost  
24 per RAB meeting in this building, with all the expenses involved . . . poster board, Radian,  
25 everything? One meeting?

26  
27 Mr. Paul Brunner: I don't know. Roxanne, Merianne do you have that cost as to what it is?  
28

1 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: I would not have that cost, it would be inappropriate for me to make a  
2 guess. But we can put that on as an action item.

3  
4 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I suggest that it be brought up at the Community Relations  
5 Committee Meeting since it came from Sheila Guerra.

6  
7 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well, I'm just wondering because I have calculated it out myself and it's  
8 around \$14,000 a meeting.

9  
10 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, it depends upon what you have. We don't have...

11  
12 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well . . .

13  
14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: . . . at those other meetings the poster board, unless they're going to  
15 start doing it.

16  
17 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well, what I understand, unless I'm adding, subtracting, multiplying  
18 wrong, we are getting charged just about the same amount of money.

19  
20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Guess what Sheila . . .

21  
22 Ms. Imogene Zander: Yes we are.

23  
24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: . . . you know what we can do? We can save a whole lot by not  
25 having any meetings.

26  
27 Ms. Imogene Zander: Okay.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: . . .so think of it that way.

2  
3 Ms. Imogene Zander: Let us all go home.

4  
5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well I think that's, I don't agree with you there, Chuck.

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well you can think it's not fair to yourself, but you can go from  
8 one extreme to the other. We are just trying...

9  
10 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'm not saying go one extreme to the other, I'm just merely saying what  
11 it's going to cost. We ought to...

12  
13 Mr. Paul Brunner: Do we . . .

14  
15 Ms Sheila Guerra: . . . look at these things.

16  
17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I think. . .

18  
19 Mr. Paul Brunner: Chuck I think we are at public comment period here. Do we have any  
20 other comments?

21  
22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, we have one more.

23  
24 Mr. Paul Brunner: And Frank, you're done?

25  
26 Mr. Frank Miller: Well.

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: You had your three minutes. We have another (inaudible).

2  
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That was your last one, remember? That's what you said.

4  
5 Mr. Paul Brunner: Major Gonzalez.

6  
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We have another public comment here.

8  
9 Major Gonzalez: Major Gonzalez from McClellan Public Affairs. I'd like to actually give a  
10 hand to the RAB. I've been coming to this meeting for the last 16 months and this is one of the  
11 smoothest and thus far one of the fastest RAB meetings that I've been to. I'd like to say you guys  
12 are going in the right direction. Thank you very much. I appreciate it and I'm sure (inaudible)  
13 appreciates it. Thank you very much. All I can say is that we stuck to the agenda items and you  
14 guys are on track. We still have speed bumps, we may not all agree. But at least we got a dialog  
15 and we are all talking. Thank you.

16  
17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Thank you.

18  
19 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Thank you.

20  
21 Mr. Paul Brunner: Is there any other public comment?

22  
23 Mr. Del Callaway: Time's up. Ten minutes is up.

24  
25 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Now that we are going into a break here of five minutes. Please  
26 hold to it so we can get this meeting over with.

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, so we readjournal at 8?

2  
3 Mr. Del Callaway: 8:40.

4  
5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, it's 8:35 right now according to this clock, so 8:40 we should  
6 be back.

7  
8 Ms. Imogene Zander: Is it break time?

9  
10 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Break time...

11  
12 **IRP and West Area Update**

13  
14 Mr. Paul Brunner: Readjournaling. The first topic that we have is the cleanup update and  
15 Elaine Anderegg the Restoration Division Chief at McClellan of the cleanup program will give a  
16 presentation.

17  
18 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: We are going to talk about some of the major documents that have  
19 come out this last quarter and the ones that are coming up over the next quarter. Talk about the  
20 field activities we've been doing and are continuing to do and there's a poster board back here  
21 afterwards if you'd like to take a look at it with pictures of several of the activities going on. We  
22 can explain what they are if you'd like to go into more detail on them. Talk a little bit about the  
23 decisions that we are focusing on these last months at the agency meetings. These are the ones  
24 Bill Gibson attends. Then lastly, an update on the west area that we committed to do at these  
25 meetings.

26  
27 Documents that came out over this last quarter, the *5-Year Review*, which you all have and just  
28

1 discussed there's a worksheet on. The E through H Sampling Plan came out. What that document  
2 is a step forward and why I put it up here is the E through H are those operable units on the north  
3 to somewhat east side of the base. They're the last areas we are doing the investigation on. We  
4 did the first phase and completed that. And about half the sites dropped out in terms of not  
5 finding contamination or issues there. And this is the next step that we will complete that  
6 investigation. It's the sampling plan of what additional investigative work we need to do out  
7 there.

8  
9 We have the VOC the volatile organic compounds, those solvents *Feasibility Study* came out in  
10 draft final. It will be going final in this next quarter. Again that's a key document that's going to  
11 be analyzing or does analyze the groundwater and soil picture for those solvents, and the  
12 alternatives that we could use to clean it up. Clean it up to different levels. What that would cost.  
13 How effective it would be. It is the basis for the alternative that we will select in our Proposed  
14 Plan, which is that document that will come out for community review suggesting or proposing  
15 actually how we will clean up and to what level we will clean the groundwater. Followed by a  
16 Record of Decision which is currently scheduled the fall next year.

17  
18 And then the last one I put up here, we talked about it at several of the BRAC Cleanup Team  
19 meetings that Chuck and Del often attend, was a DNPL, dense nonaqueous phase liquid, big  
20 words there, but what it is a work plan where we are really taking a look at those solvents as they  
21 exist in the groundwater. How we can better detect where they are, because the better we can  
22 actually find the source in the groundwater, the faster and better we are going to be able to clean  
23 it up. So it's an interesting technology that we are taking a look at for trying to detect those. It's  
24 been something that we've been tracking in those BRAC Cleanup Team meetings as well.

25  
26 As I mentioned what we do have coming out is that *Feasibility Study* will go final. The draft  
27 Proposed Plan is going to be worked on with the agencies. That draft plan is one that is not going  
28

1 out to the general public at that point. Once we finalize our selected alternative, that's what we  
2 will put out as a proposal. But we will be working on that over the next quarter.

3  
4 *Community Relations Plan*, we already talked about here, will be coming out in draft over the  
5 next quarter. The *5-Year Review* continues on with its cycle, going draft final. And we have  
6 several soil vapor extraction EE/CAs coming out. Those are the documents that we use to put in  
7 place our soil vapor extraction systems. In '99 we have several of those proceeding on. Quite an  
8 extensive program over the next two years to install systems.

9  
10 We have ongoing activities on soil and groundwater monitoring. And that's the report Chuck was  
11 talking about quarterly, we put out a report on our Groundwater Monitoring Program. The  
12 groundwater treatment plant is still up and running. Our plumes are being contained and cleaned  
13 up. SVE operations going on and we have a quarterly inspection on the Operable Unit 1 capping  
14 action. The Operable Unit B1 is the PCB site we capped several years ago, and actually is the  
15 trigger for that *5-Year Review*, because that was the first Record of Decision that we did. And  
16 every five years from then we need to take a look at what we are doing.

17  
18 We've continued on with our Industrial Wastewater Line lateral inspections and we talked about  
19 that at one of the last RABs; that effort how successful they're being. Fuel line inspections are  
20 going on, we are almost complete with that.

21  
22 And then we had been installing the treated groundwater line which was the piping system to  
23 take the contamination from the east side of the base over to the west side where the groundwater  
24 treatment plant is. That piping system is now completed. We are working, you can go to the next  
25 one, on the installation of the wells and the modifications to the groundwater treatment plant this  
26 next quarter.

1 Continuing on with the Industrial Wastewater Line and fuel line projects, we are starting into  
2 some significant remedial investigation activities now. If you come out to the base you could see  
3 there's a number of drill rigs on base right now, as well as surveys going on for radiation in  
4 buildings both establishing some background as well as taking a scanning look at buildings so  
5 they can be released.

6  
7 SVE actions, as I said, we had three EE/CA actions that we've been working on in terms of  
8 starting those systems up and then we will be writing the documents that I mentioned earlier for  
9 several more that'll be completed later this year. The groundwater treatment plant modifications  
10 are going on. Next.

11  
12 In conjunction with that Feasibility Study for the solvents we've been talking about then, that's  
13 been a major topic for us over these last several months. Every agency meeting we've made  
14 progress in getting those closer. The Record of Decision is scheduled for next fall.

15  
16 Radiological issues have also been a topic and we actually have a dedicated portion each month  
17 where the experts from the agencies come in and sit down and talk to us as we've put that  
18 program together. And taking a look at the buildings and the soil sites that we have, making sure  
19 that we are thoroughly investigating and we'll be able to turn buildings over and get the  
20 properties cleaned up.

21  
22 In our west area update, we have done some work out on the west area this last quarter, where we  
23 unplugged Don Julio Creek along the fenceline to the property. The beavers have been busy  
24 putting up a lot of blockage there and the creek had been backing up. We did get that cleared.  
25 There's a real nice picture there on the poster board if you want to take a look at it of that effort  
26 as it happened out there. There was a tree removed out there as well.



1 Upcoming work, we are planning to install signs along the areas where the vernal pools are along  
2 the roads. We had planned to have that done by now, but we haven't finished all the coordinating  
3 permitting process that we are putting through yet. Expect to have that done in the next few  
4 weeks. Those signs are made, we just need to get out there and get them installed.

5  
6 I think you'll see that if you do drive around the base there is some work going on those line  
7 drainage ways. The areas that are concrete drainages on base have been over grown with plants,  
8 there is some work going on over these last months and I think we'll continue a little bit where  
9 we are out there cleaning some of those out.

10  
11 No plans at this point yet of doing anything on the creeks. But we'll keep you posted if that if that  
12 does come up. Any questions?

## 13 14 **Other Business**

## 15 16 **Community Bulletin Board**

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, if there's no questions for Elaine then that brings us to other  
19 business in the Community Bulletin Board. If Merianne, as you race back to your table to get  
20 your documents. And do we have a handout on this one too? Roxanne, is that what you're doing?

21  
22 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Yes, we do have a handout on both Elaine's slides and also on the  
23 Community Bulletin Board. We have public meetings coming up on November 4<sup>th</sup> and  
24 November 5<sup>th</sup> on the West Area Reclamation, a public scoping. And on November 4<sup>th</sup> it will be  
25 on the west area of the base here at Vineland School Auditorium starting at 6:30. On November  
26 5<sup>th</sup> we will be having the meeting with the same agenda and the same briefings done over on the  
27 east side of base at Fredrick C. Joyce School's cafeteria that starts also at 6:30.

1 November 12<sup>th</sup> we have a Chairpersons meeting. Please note the date change on that. That is  
2 tentative right now. I still need confirmation from one of the chairpeople as to if that date is  
3 good.

4  
5 November 12<sup>th</sup> we are scheduled for a training workshop, "What is SVE," which is soil vapor  
6 extraction. We have a RAB December 2<sup>nd</sup> and then our Community Relations Committee, which  
7 Sheila has mentioned already, December 16<sup>th</sup>. And I will be working on getting a new calendar  
8 for you that will list all the 1999 dates and that will be ready for the next RAB, which is on  
9 December 2<sup>nd</sup>.

10  
11 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, is there any other discussion on the Community Bulletin Board? I  
12 did have a question for the RAB members as we work through. On the training sessions that we  
13 have, is that doable for the folks on the 12<sup>th</sup>? I've gotten some feedback. Potentially it's not the  
14 best time for that particular training. (Inaudible) what we'll do is proceed with it. This training is  
15 aimed at soil vapor extraction, very similar to the folks that had the opportunity to come to the  
16 training on groundwater, where we had some show and tell and different things to use to try to  
17 demonstrate the technique.

18  
19 We plan to do this very similar technique for the soil vapor extraction; to be able to demonstrate  
20 how it works in the soil and remove the contaminants. But the 12<sup>th</sup>, is there problem with that  
21 day?

22  
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I was wondering if it might be a good idea, if we as a RAB, this is  
24 just an idea, try to put our efforts in the fourth and the fifth meeting on the creeks and the  
25 restoration of the wetlands rather than this training session. What do you people think? I mean,  
26 I'm listening.

1 Ms. Imogene Zander: I think it's a good idea.

2  
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Good idea. Anyone else have an idea here? How about you Del,  
4 you have any ideas?

5  
6 Mr. Del Callaway: I'm still looking at the Pancake Palace. That's a good idea Chuck, yes.

7  
8 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, anyone else have any feedback here? So unless this is  
9 unreasonable to someone, I think it would be a good idea just to have RAB training on the fourth  
10 and fifth at the public meeting on the creek restoration and at the wetland restoration and  
11 concentrate our efforts there. If that will be all right. Is that all right with you, Paul?

12  
13 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, let me clarify what it is. What you're saying is not to do that  
14 particular RAB training on the 12<sup>th</sup> if you all participate on the fourth and fifth.

15  
16 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's correct.

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

19  
20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: And then just. . .

21  
22 Mr. Paul Brunner: That's okay with me.

23  
24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: And just delay.

25  
26 Mr. Paul Brunner: Elaine, we will reschedule the other training session later on.

1 Ms. Elaine Andereg: Great.

3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Bill, you're nodding your head (inaudible).

5 Mr. Mannard Gaines: That's fine with me.

7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay.

9 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, that's doable.

11 Ms. Imogene Zander: Excuse me, Imogene Zander. Why couldn't it all be included? Just  
12 on the fourth and the fifth, include your showing of how they're going to clean the ground.

14 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, they're really different subjects, Imogene. As for the community and  
15 advertising for the creek and the environmental assessment, and that we are working on the  
16 document for the creek.

18 Ms. Imogene Zander: But it's not, really, because whenever you run a creek through a  
19 piece of land that is contaminated, I would say it's the same thing. You're working on the same  
20 principle.

22 Mr. Paul Brunner: But the purpose . . .

24 Ms. Imogene Zander: You'd have to clean the ground before you could run the water  
25 over it.

27 Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes.

1 Ms. Imogene Zander: Otherwise, you have contaminated water.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: The . . .

4  
5 Ms. Imogene Zander: So why can't we do it all together?

6  
7 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well there's two separate purposes for the meeting. One is to get  
8 information from the public generally for the creek restoration work. The other one is more of a  
9 hands-on show-and-tell on soil cleanup, of how that works, which is a different audience,  
10 different participation within the group, and some different setups. So I don't think they're  
11 compatible to do at the same time.

12  
13 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: You might see, Imogene, it's this way. One is training for you as  
14 Restoration Advisory Board member, so you'll be more educated when you come to the meetings  
15 like this. One is for the general public and also for us as Restoration Advisory Board people to  
16 put our input and how we feel they should deal with the wetlands and the creeks that have had  
17 some damage done to them and how to restore that damage. And it's going to take quite a while  
18 — it's going to be quite a long meeting as it is. It probably won't be that long, but if we added  
19 the other part to it, then we would be boring people half to death, too. They won't want to learn  
20 the specifics on the cleanup like you are going to be educated in. Does that help?

21  
22 Ms. Imogene Zander: No. As far as me being educated in how they're going to clean it  
23 up, I can go out and help them right now. As far as their groundwater, I could have showed them  
24 how to do that to begin with. So what I need to know is how much more of the creeks they're  
25 going to destroy and how much they're going to put back. If they hadn't destroyed the creeks to  
26 begin with, we wouldn't have to worry about them putting them back.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, at the meeting you'll be able to answer, ask all those  
2 questions.

3  
4 Ms. Imogene Zander: I just did.

5  
6 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: And that's why I suggested that we go to the fourth and the fifth  
7 and promote those meetings and be able to attend them and so you could ask all the questions  
8 and give all your feedback then. Okay?

9  
10 Ms. Imogene Zander: Okay.

11  
12 **Develop Next RAB Agenda**

13  
14 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, any other comments or questions on the Community Bulletin  
15 Board? If not, I think where we are on the agenda is developing the next RAB agenda. On this  
16 particular topic — really at this point, I know that the co-chairs of the subcommittees meet for  
17 the developing the agenda. Is there a particular topic or item from the RAB members that we  
18 should have at the meeting? We have a draft agenda here. But for the sake of time, is there a  
19 particular topic that we need to try to add to the agenda?

20  
21 Mr. Del Callaway: Is that a mumble? Did you hear that back there?

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: Del, did you have something?

24  
25 Mr. Del Callaway: I was just wondering. Back in April of '97, I was looking at one of your  
26 papers that came out and it had a picture of a fairy shrimp on it. But when I checked into it, looks  
27 like that fairy shrimp's on his back. And then when I was sitting looking at your calendar, I saw  
28

1 the same thing again. I think that picture is upside down.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Kirsten is it upside down? Kirsten is our Natural Resource person.

4  
5 Mr. Del Callaway: Whoever put that picture in there, could they enlighten me on that?

6  
7 Mr. Paul Brunner: Is that really a question for the group?

8  
9 Mr. Del Callaway: No, you asked me if I had a question and that was my question.

10  
11 Ms. Kirsten Christopherson: Hi, I'm Kirsten Christopherson. I'm the Natural Resources  
12 Manager at McClellan. No, it's not upside down. That's how they swim, actually. That's a  
13 common misconception. People will switch it the other way around.

14  
15 Mr. Del Callaway: Yes, well, when I looked it up in the encyclopedia it shows it the other  
16 way. It shows it swimming feet down.

17  
18 Ms. Kirsten Christopherson: Well I guess they didn't know what they were doing.

19  
20 Mr. Del Callaway: Is that right? Wow. It was feet down and that little thing in the front there.  
21 Okay that was my question.

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, thanks Del. The any other topics, Sheila?

24  
25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes I have a question. The SEED presentation. Who is going to be  
26 (inaudible)?

1 Mr. Paul Brunner: I know we've asked the County. You've asked that the SEED be on there  
2 and we've asked the County to come. Do we know who's coming from the County? Specifically?

3  
4 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: No, we don't at this time.

5  
6 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Are we going to have time in there for discussion also?

7  
8 Mr. Paul Brunner: On the SEED?

9  
10 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes.

11  
12 Mr. Paul Brunner: If we modify the agenda, when we could build time into it?

13  
14 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Because I know you only have 10 minutes here, and I don't think 10  
15 minutes is long enough for us to get the information on the SEED program.

16  
17 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. As we do that, we'll adjust the other times or work within that time  
18 frame for what we have on the meeting.

19  
20 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I think we might even need a workshop or something for this, I don't  
21 know.

22  
23 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay would you...

24  
25 Ms Imogene Zander: There's a lot of questions about it.

26  
27 Mr. Paul Brunner: About SEED . . . ?



1 Ms. Imogene Zander: Yes.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: Is that something you would prefer to do separately than the RAB or just  
4 bring it to the RAB? What would be your druthers?

5  
6 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I think I would rather have it at a committee meeting. I think we first  
7 should have the person present it to us at a more close private type thing, where we can have  
8 more discussion. I mean I don't want this guy to come out and do 10 minutes with us and we  
9 don't get the questions and we don't get the understanding that we need.

10  
11 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, which committee would that be? Was that Community Relations,  
12 Technical Report, or one of the other ones that we should do that in? If we are going to be doing  
13 it at subcommittee, maybe we can discuss which one or which forum that we do at the co-chair or  
14 at the luncheon that we are going to have within the . . .

15  
16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's fine with me.

17  
18 **Recap Current Action Items**

19  
20 Mr. Paul Brunner: Anything else? Okay if there's no other specific topics, then why don't we  
21 go to the recap of the action items.

22  
23 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Okay, the first one is to send Brad Gacke a letter for removal from the  
24 RAB and what I need is who will be the point of contact, Chuck.

25  
26 The next one that was voted on is Simeon Orioeke, to send a letter see if he's still interested, but  
27 he's here.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

3 Ms. Sheila Guerra: He's here.

5 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Would we like to take that off? You've made changes in the RAB Charter  
6 and so those will be put into the Charter and mailed to all the members and Merianne Briggs will  
7 be the champion for that one. You also would like to clarify the letter from the Air Force  
8 Attorney Advisor dated 27 September 1998. Who would that be?

10 Mr. Paul Brunner: I have that one.

12 Ms Roxanne Yonn: That would be Paul. Okay. Also the question came up of how many  
13 employees at McClellan excluding the military since 1995, and Major Gonzalez has taken that.  
14 For clarification, did you want it on a particular year by year or... ?

16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, I'd like to have the Air Logistics, the civilians, and the military, and  
17 the whole base.

19 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Does that mean the tenants or the folks just inside the fence . . . ?

21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes.

23 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: . . .is that what you're looking for?

25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes.

27 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: The major tenants?

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: The total amount on base.

2  
3 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Total bodies and such.

4  
5 Mr. Paul Brunner: Roxanne what I hear her saying is she would like to know the total amount  
6 of people that come to the base.

7  
8 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Also, yes.

9  
10 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Sure. We'll break that down and we'll have that for you. And Merianne  
11 Briggs will be the champion for this one, to send out a breakdown of the mailing list info so you  
12 will have it in preparation for the Community Relations Committee meeting. So they'll have it in  
13 advance.

14  
15 Ms. Sheila Guerra: What was that?

16  
17 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: What we had presented at the breakdown for the mailing list at the  
18 Community Relations Committee meeting. It's going to be another subject at the next one and  
19 what we would do is send out the information so that people can look at it ahead of time rather  
20 than getting it at the meeting again.

21  
22 Ms. Sheila Guerra: The breakdown of the, cost.

23  
24 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Of the mailing, on the cost, right.

25  
26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I thought we already had that.

1 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: I don't think all the members have it. But just to make sure I know, Linda  
2 Piercy didn't have it, so I thought if we just gave it to all the RAB members, then that way they  
3 would have...

4  
5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's fine with me.  
6

7 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Okay. Also for the Community Relations, we are going to be addressing  
8 the minutes and how they're going to be — a discussion, so we put that on there. And we will  
9 also add "inaudible" instead of "muddle," which is currently on there, which is probably more  
10 appropriate.  
11

12 You would also like the cost of each RAB meeting broken down, so that you would know what  
13 the cost are per meeting.  
14

15 And also at the Community Relations, no, Community Co-Chair will be a discussion on the  
16 SEED and how that will be presented.  
17

18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Which committee we are going to have it on I (inaudible)?  
19

20 Ms Roxanne Yonn: The Committee Chair meeting.  
21

22 Mr. Paul Brunner: It's the Committee Chair Lunch meeting.  
23

24 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Lunch meeting.  
25

26 Mr. Paul Brunner: We'll decide which group is going to do that.  
27  
28

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Which committee?

2  
3 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Which committee? And that's what I have. Does anybody have anything  
4 else would like to add? Okay.

5  
6 **Public Comment and Questions**

7  
8 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, we have one last public comment and question period. so  
9 does anybody have any comments.

10  
11 Please state your name for the record, please.

12  
13 Mr. Gary Collier: My name is Gary Collier. I'm representing Home Neighborhood  
14 Association, but I'm also a member of East Del Paso Heights Target Area Committee, although  
15 we're not representing that group.

16  
17 What I'd like to talk to you about tonight, I believe should be in (inaudible) of this organization,  
18 but I'm not sure what the parameters of your organization are. Recent actions by the Air Force,  
19 particularly the closing of the tower and dramatic reduction of flight activities, indicates that the  
20 Air Force no longer needs the expansive network of over flight zones currently in use.

21  
22 The noise and vibration from these activities, particularly the industrial activities in the over  
23 flights, are clearly an environmental nuisance, which needs to be addressed during the base  
24 closing. The most recent *North Sacramento Community General Plan* adopted in 1984, which  
25 was authorized primarily at the Air Force request to protect McClellan Air Force Base uses, has  
26 resulted in inaction of numerous owners, local, political impacts upon local residents. Currently  
27 several local community activists are making a request for a revision to the 1984 *North*

1 *Sacramento Community General Plan* as a result of the base closure.

2  
3 The numerous building and zoning restrictions that have been enacted—can't even read my  
4 writing, sorry—subsequent to political pressure from the Air Force in the early 1980s has  
5 dramatically impacted the characteristics of North Sacramento, Rio Linda and particularly the  
6 local Robla community. The restrictions are continuing to be implied in our community as if the  
7 Air Force was functioning preclosure.

8  
9 The changes in our community since 1984 have resulted in encroaching residents, excuse me,  
10 industrial development adjacent to residential usages with no provision for a buffer area between  
11 the uses. Numerous building requirements have been necessitated as a result of the Air Force's  
12 over flight of our community. And it's impacted some local schools in the ability to bring a high  
13 school to the area. This is impacting the ability, in particular Robla, to set itself aside rather than  
14 having to be in the Grant Union School District.

15  
16 I would like your group to request information from the Air Force regarding what particular  
17 flight patterns are still necessary for the continuation of the current mission of the base towards  
18 closure. The provision of this information would allow the local community to facilitate a  
19 revision to the SACOG's McClellan club. Prior closure in order to facilitate the economic  
20 development interest of the local community and essentially get environmental normalcy, regain  
21 our flight path, which was taken from us without any cost.

22  
23 We were not reimbursed for the cost of having that air space taken from us. We need it back to  
24 the local area rather than having the whole community, the whole County decide, particularly the  
25 LRA what use is going to be reflected in our air space.

26  
27 Please do not refer me back to the LRA. That office has conflicting vested interest at stake and  
28

1 has given this community in particular lip service regarding meeting environmental has  
2 community needs. Rob Leonard has reneged on a verbal promise that he made in front of General  
3 Tattini to our community to meet and address some issues, particularly as it relates to Parker  
4 Homes and its problem subsequent to it being released from ownership by the United States Air  
5 Corps.

6  
7 They've refused to accommodate us in the EIR document, however they utilized in the LRA at  
8 Mather Air Force Base to say the problems that we've had at Parker Homes, they needed money  
9 to make sure Mather didn't have the same problems in their housing. There's something wrong  
10 there, where they could get money to fix Mather and we've had the problem for over 50 years.

11  
12 Mr. Paul Brunner: Gary, is your question as you have voiced it here on your response in  
13 regard to Air Force mission today or future mission?

14  
15 Mr. Gary Collier: What we are looking at is, we don't see the activity now. What we want to  
16 find out is what is essential now — for there doesn't seem to appear a major mission. We realize  
17 you're going to have some over flights to maintain an Air Force capability. However, it doesn't  
18 seem necessary to have the expansive network that is in the club, going over particularly the  
19 schools in Robla and North Sacramento.

20  
21 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

22  
23 Mr. Gary Collier: I can see that there would be some areas at North Sacramento — perhaps  
24 you might have one track but not have all the racetracks going around and around.

25  
26 Mr. Paul Brunner: What we can do or what I can be an advocate for you is — if you'd make  
27 we have your name, and your number (inaudible). With the current Air Force mission, contact  
28

1 like the wing commander and flight ops and be able to share with you what our perspective is and  
2 work with you back and forth on that. The future post McClellan, it's not really — I'm not sure  
3 that could be (inaudible) here for me at least from an Air Force perspective and I don't know why  
4 that it really is an LRA issue to future land use.

5  
6 Mr. Gary Collier: Well, unfortunately, they refuse to meet with us and yet we have — we  
7 see Vic Fazio bring back bu-cu bucks for North Highlands and we don't see Senator Matsui  
8 anywhere in regards to this. We don't see Roger Dickinson helping our community here.

9  
10 Mr. Paul Brunner: Potentially what we have, and we are close to the end of your time here,  
11 but Del, as we work back and forth, I know that Rob Leonard comes to Del's Reuse Committee  
12 and various things. It really is more of a future reuse type issue and potentially that may be an  
13 avenue within the RAB.

14  
15 Mr. Del Callaway: I was going to suggest to attend our meeting. Also see Merianne and/or  
16 Roxanne or one of them, and get an application for the RAB.

17  
18 Mr. Gary Collier: I've already filled one out.

19  
20 Mr. Del Callaway: You filled one out?

21  
22 Mr. Gary Collier: Yes.

23  
24 Mr. Del Callaway: Okay.

25  
26 Mr. Gary Collier: And in addition, I — real quick, I heard you have a rock crusher. We've  
27 got streets in Parker Homes that have not been maintained by the City of Sacramento. In fact, we  
28



1 are not even on any list for maintenance or reconstruction. After 50 years, our streets are  
2 ridiculously in poor condition. If you'd like we could bring some rocks over there and you can  
3 crush them. Can we use that facility? Because the City doesn't seem able to deal with the  
4 situation.

5  
6 Mr. Paul Brunner: Specifically I don't . . .

7  
8 Mr. Gary Collier: We've got . . .

9  
10 Mr. Paul Brunner: . . . know, but I can find out.

11  
12 Mr. Gary Collier: Okay.

13  
14 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I have a question.

15  
16 Ms. Imogene Zander: I do, too.

17  
18 Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. Thank you.

19  
20 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. Have you tried to get ahold of the City?

21  
22 Mr. Gary Collier: (inaudible) I'm on the East Del Paso Heights (inaudible)

23  
24 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well they have. They bought a piece of property from me not too long ago  
25 over there.

26  
27 Mr. Gary Collier: Yes.

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: So they should be involved in the concerns of the community, if they own  
2 property over there.

3  
4 Mr. Gary Collier: We just completed a Parker Homes infrastructure study. The problem is  
5 they don't have money and the City of Sacramento, the whole city only paved, or I shouldn't say  
6 paved, reconstruction is more expensive than just putting an overlay. So when you see a street it  
7 may not have been reconstructed in a long time. But it has been overlaid over years or maybe  
8 they just resealed Norwood or Raley Boulevard. Its only a couple of years old and they resealed  
9 it. That cost \$50,000. That little job on Raley Blvd. Our streets are the same exact streets as  
10 1942.

11  
12 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I know that.

13  
14 Mr. Gary Collier: Its going to be like \$4,000,000 to replace those streets. And the City says  
15 we don't have the money. In all of Sacramento, all the Council District, I think it's like eight or  
16 12 Council Districts, only four streets were repaved and of those, not all of them were paved with  
17 City Dollars. Most of their money came from redevelopment area funding. So there's not money  
18 out there. The City refuses to comply with the mandate that's been provided, that they maintain  
19 streets.

20  
21 Somebody's got to come up with a program here, how we can get our streets fixed. And it's as  
22 far as the neighborhood in Parker Homes, we consider this a problem that's directly related to the  
23 Air Force putting in, or actually, I shouldn't say the Air Force, the US Army Air Corps putting in  
24 deficient infrastructure.

25  
26 We've also got other problems with sewers over flowing down the streets. I mean it would  
27 embarrass Admiral (inaudible) to see sewage coming down a street named after him.

1 Ms. Imogene Zander: That's how they named it after him. But if you're talking about  
2 Rob Leonard and Dickinson, the wind blows through his ears, so . . .

3  
4 Mr. Gary Collier: He just looks right through you.

5  
6 Ms. Imogene Zander: I mean you don't have much to deal with so long as he's in there.  
7 But there is one thing. California put in 25 cents on the pack for cigarettes. Even the smokers  
8 voted it in, to fix roads with. Ask Sacramento County — yes, that's true.

9  
10 Unknown Male: What have they done with the money?

11  
12 Ms. Imogene Zander: Ask them what they've done with the money.

13  
14 Mr. Gary Collier: I've never heard of that one. I've heard of Tobacco Tax.

15  
16 Ms. Imogene Zander: Oh yes, you didn't, yes we voted that in.

17  
18 Mr. Gary Collier: Thank you for that information. I'm going to check that out.

19  
20 Ms Imogene Zander: Oh yes, that was voted in. And now you're going to get another go around  
21 on 10 — if you vote yes on 10, you are really up a creek.

22  
23 Mr. Gary Collier: Was there any other questions?

24  
25 Ms. Imogene Zander: I don't mean to be a politician. but...

26  
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Thank you very much for your comments, Gary.

1 Mr. Gary Collier: Thank you.

2  
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: And we have one more public member that would like to speak.

4 But, thank you.

5  
6 Mr. Del Callaway: Your 10 minutes are up, Chuck.

7  
8 Mr. Frank Miller: Frank, Frank Miller. On August 8, 1998, I sent a letter to Colonel Robert  
9 Gibson of the JAG Office, regarding a notice of protest concerning the Ride Share Coordinator  
10 position. I was responded to in a letter from your contracting officer, Judy Calderone, who stated  
11 to me in her letter that they did a survey and they found that there was adequate competition in  
12 the area. And it turns out that what she stated to me was a falsehood. There was not adequate  
13 competition in the area. There were no competitors in the area because you gave the FY 1999  
14 contract to Rebecca Garrison and there were no competitors.

15  
16 Now this was not equal opportunity employment. However, it was unnecessary manipulated  
17 employment by Environmental Management. And I would like to know how you can award this  
18 contractor this contract with no competition? There were no competitors.

19  
20 Mr. Paul Brunner: Is that your final question on the comment? Your time I don't want to take  
21 up.

22  
23 Mr. Frank Miller: Right.

24  
25 Mr. Paul Brunner: There was competition. There was solicitation. We did do the survey and  
26 there was ability to do and meet that need. And I disagree with your comment that we did  
27 something fraudulently or whatever it was. There was competition and the survey was conducted.

1 Mr. Frank Miller: Well.

2  
3 Mr. Paul Brunner: And there wasn't a bidding for a lot and there's only one source selection  
4 that came. Perhaps with the closing of the base there's not a lot of interest to come to the base at  
5 this point, it's only a one-year type effort to close out and you leave.

6  
7 Mr. Frank Miller: (inaudible)

8  
9 Mr. Paul Brunner: And the rest of the conversation on the protest and the responses, Frank,  
10 you know that you've responded back in the regard on response that we have. And to renew your  
11 protest as to where it was and beyond that, I don't think I can really comment as to where we are.  
12 Because we are responding back to you on your most recent letter.

13  
14 Mr. Frank Miller: But is it true that there were no competitors?

15  
16 Mr. Paul Brunner: I just told you, no. I said that there were competitors out there. I told you  
17 before we did the survey there were competitors out there.

18  
19 Mr. Frank Miller: Yes, but were there competitors with bid in your hand? How many people  
20 did you?

21  
22 Mr. Paul Brunner: Oh, I see what you're saying.

23  
24 Mr. Frank Miller: In your hand, yes, you're mincing.

25  
26 Mr. Paul Brunner: People that actually responded to the . . .

1 Mr. Frank Miller: You're mincing words here. I want to know how many people you had to  
2 choose from. That were on your desk.

3  
4 Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, first of all, no one was on my desk. It comes back into the  
5 contracting office as to where it was. And they do a source selection and they award. There was  
6 one response to the bid. There were people that were eligible to meet the criteria that we had on  
7 the source selection. Numerous people on the community that could have responded to the source  
8 selection, one company chose to respond. And that's part of the competition process. We made it  
9 available for people to respond and one did.

10  
11 Mr. Frank Miller: You know if you really wanted this to be a fair and open and honest  
12 competition, you would have advertised this in the *Sacramento Bee* or *San Francisco Chronicle*  
13 or *LA Times*. And you did not. You only advertised it on the CBD net, and only people with  
14 computer entry have a chance to even view that. And that is not equal opportunity employment.

15  
16 Mr. Paul Brunner: Are you done?

17  
18 Mr. Frank Miller: I'm done. My next question is to Sheila Guerra. Do you recall what that  
19 five person trip to Washington, DC cost?

20  
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I believe it was \$500, ball park.

22  
23 Mr. Frank Miller: Okay.

24  
25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Per person.

26  
27 Mr. Frank Miller: Was there. I asked . . .  
28

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: . . .that was plane fare, hotel that was to and from.

2  
3 Mr. Frank Miller: I asked for the total cost on that trip, the total expenses. So you're saying  
4 that the total expenses was \$500 and . . .

5  
6 Ms. Sheila Guerra: A little over \$500.

7  
8 Mr. Frank Miller: A little over \$500 for total expenses.

9  
10 Mr. Del Callaway: He's gone over the 10 minutes.

11  
12 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Right.

13  
14 Mr. Frank Miller: And do you believe that to be true that you can go to Washington, DC?

15  
16 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Frank.

17  
18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I did talk to Merianne Briggs about that. And she did send me some  
19 literature on it. I forget what it was, but she sent me a statement on it where they went, where  
20 they stayed and what not. But that was the amount \$500 per person.

21  
22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Can he get that breakdown from you?

23  
24 Mr. Paul Brunner: I think we had the handout on the breakdown on that before.

25  
26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: We had that, but I think only the RAB members received it. I don't think  
27 anybody in the public received that. So we might want to send Frank a copy of it.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, you can do that. You can send him a copy.

2  
3 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, I can send it.

4  
5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, good.

6  
7 Mr. Frank Miller: Okay, thank you Ms. Guerra.

8  
9 Major Gonzalez: Hi. Major Gonzalez, just on a little more personal note. While we've been  
10 here, my vehicle was ripped off. So if you guys want to check out your vehicles tonight . . .

11  
12 Ms. Sheila Guerra: What?!

13  
14 Major Gonzalez: . . .I definitely would. They ripped the fog lights off my vehicle while it  
15 was parked here since this meeting started. I got here at about 6:30. So you guys might want  
16 check your vehicles out.

17  
18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: What is our security guard doing, sitting on his butt inside here, when he  
19 should be outside?

20  
21 Major Gonzalez: Actually he just assisted me in calling the Sacramento Sheriff's  
22 Department. I don't know when they got here, how they did it. But I'm trying to tell you, you  
23 might want to check your vehicles.

24  
25 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I think it would be a good idea if we are going to have a security  
26 guard . . .



1 Ms. Imogene Zander: Why do we have a security guard?

3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Hold it just a second before we go on that again. Because we are ...

5 Ms. Imogene Zander: We need a security guard outside.

7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Imogene, you are right. Outside is right. If we are going to have  
8 one, the place to have a security guard which I was just about ready to say, is outside because the  
9 last time I saw him he was sitting at the doorway here. And that was the whole idea. It's dark out  
10 there and that's where the unsafe area is, not inside under the lights.

12 Okay, well anyhow, I wanted to state two things. One if you want to talk to Mr. Straus, he's  
13 sitting right there. If you have any questions of him, please do ask of him.

15 We are still talking about the TAPP; still have some others that may apply. But he's sitting there,  
16 so make yourself available. He's making himself available to you to ask him some questions.

18 The other thing is I came up with a day of November 18<sup>th</sup> for a Technical Report Review  
19 Committee. I see that it's vacant on the calendar. That's a Wednesday. It's the week after. Is  
20 something wrong? Okay, it's the week after the 11<sup>th</sup>, which is Veterans Day. So. . . .

22 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Was it the 18<sup>th</sup>?

24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Anybody? 18<sup>th</sup> unless somebody in the Technic . . .

26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: 6 or 6:30?

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: 6:30 unless somebody with the Technical Report Committee  
2 doesn't want that date, I'm going to set it for that date. Okay, I'm not hearing anything from that.  
3 I think it's about time to close the meeting. Do I have a second to close this meeting?  
4

5 Mr. Del Callaway: Second.  
6

7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: All those in favor say aye.  
8

9 All: Aye.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28